NEW YORK STATE

NEW YORK STATE LEGISLATIVE TASK FORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT

PUBLIC HEARING

CONGRESSIONAL AND STATE LEGISLATIVE REDISTRICTING

SUNY College at Old Westbury

Maguire Theater

223 Store Hill Road

Old Westbury, NY

Thursday, October 27, 2011

10:00 a.m.

TASK FORCE MEMBERS PRESENT:

SENATOR MICHAEL F. NOZZOLIO, Co-Chair, NYS Legislative
Task Force on Demographic Research and Reapportionment
ASSEMBLY MEMBER JOHN J. MCENENY, Co-Chair, NYS Legislative
Task Force on Demographic Research and Reapportionment
SENATOR MARTIN M. DILAN

ASSEMBLY MEMBER ROBERT OAKS

DEBRA LEVINE

ROMAN HEDGES

WELQUIS LOPEZ

INDEX

	Page
HONORABLE KEVAN ABRAHAMS LEGISLATOR, NASSAU COUNTY	10
WAYNE WINK, LEGISLATOR, NASSAU COUNTY	15
DEBORAH N. MISIR, ESQ	40
DAVID STONEHILL, ESQ. WITH PAUL EVANS	64
TODD BREITBART	79
ASSEMBLYMAN EDWARD P. RA, 21 st AD	97
ELLIOT AUERBACH, MEMBER OF BOARD, CHAIR OF ELECTION COMMITTEE NY CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION	101
ASSEMBLYMAN PHILIP RAMOS	110
SUSAN LERNER, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, COMMON CAUSE NY	115
ERNST ULYSSE	131
CARMEN JULIA PIÑEYRO	133
HAZEL SCOTTIE COADS, CHAIR CIVIC ENGAGEMENT, NAACP, NYS CONFERENCE	136
DENNIS JONES, RETIRED NYPD DETECTIVE	169
HONORABLE ARCHIE SPIGNER	156
LEROY GADSDEN, PRESIDENT, NAACP-JAMAICA BRANCH	166
RACHAEL KRINSKY, PRESIDENT, THE LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS, NASSAU COUNTY	182

BARBARA SCOTT

220

(The public hearing commenced at 10:03

3 a.m.)

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

(Crosstalk)

ASSEMBLY MEMBER JOHN J. MCENENY, CO-CHAIR, NYS LEGISLATIVE TASK FORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT: Good morning and thank you very much for coming to this. public hearing of LATFOR which is the New York State Legislative body which has the responsibility to draw lines which at this point will be the preliminary lines which we hope to have drawn after the last and 14th meeting which is happening next week in Plattsburg. meetings have been held across the state. started as soon as we could once the session was And my name is John McEneny better known over. as Jack McEneny. I'm the co-chair representing the assembly. I am joined with my co-chair, Senator Mike Nozzolio. We have members of the minority in each house. To my right, Bob Oaks, member of the assembly. To my left, Senator Martin Dilan, Senator. We have two citizen members who are with us as well. Welquis Lopez

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

representing the Senate side and Roman Hedges in regards to the assembly. We're also joined up here on the Panel with Debra Levine who is one of the executive co-chairs that do the day to day work of LATFOR which goes on literally even beyond this period. We are joined so far by a number of elected officials. I'm going to ask some of them to come up early because I know they have some conflicts in scheduling. Phil Ra--Ramos and Ed Ra and Mike Montizano [phonetic], all members of the New York State Assembly, Devon Abrahams, and Wayne Wink representing the County legislature and Nassau and Carmen Piñeyro, who's a village of--Village of Freeport person who is on the counsel there.

And I advise you all that the only people that we can only recognize up here sit at that table because the rest of you are back lighted. So if you do see another elected official that should be properly recognized, that would be helpful.

Before we start, I'd like to offer my co-chair an opportunity to speak, Mike Nozzolio,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

and that'll be followed by any other members of the Panel who wish to have some opening remarks.

SENATOR MICHAEL F. NOZZOLIO, CO-CHAIR, NYS LEGISLATIVE TASK FORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT: Thank you, Assembly Member McEneny. Good morning ladies and My name is Mike Nozzolio. gentlemen. honored to be the Senate's Majorities representative on this task force. This is our now 13th Hearing that we have conducted across New York State that Nassau was specifically requested to have a hearing here by assem--Senator Dilan. I thank Senator Dilan for his suggestion and that we look forward to hearing the input from our Nassau citizenry. We've asked--I've asked for this theatre type approach to be changed, bring up the house lights, and let us all engage in less than hopefully not theatrical situation. This is a hearing. We want to hear from There is a video record being taken of everyone. this proceeding. The record will be placed on the LATFOR website for all to view. We encourage those who cannot be at a public hearing to attend

2.2

either through written or other com--the LATFOR website. We are making a lengthy official record of the interests of our citizens across New York State and that we ask and welcome--we ask for and welcome the participation of everyone in this process. Without further ado, I thank you assemblymen, it's good to see you again, and I look forward to having the input from the citizen's of Nassau County. Senator Dilan?

SENATOR MARTIN DILAN, NYS LEGISLATIVE

TASK FORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND

REAPPORTIONMENT: Good morning. I'm State

Senator Martin Malave Dilan, 17th Senatorial

District and I am representing the Democratic

Minority Conference at these hearings.

First of all, I'd like to thank both cochairs for honoring my requests that my colleagues for holding a hearing here in Nassau.

I just sincerely hope that the maze of Westbury is accessible to all those that wish to testify.

And nonetheless I am happy to be here and to listen to the residents of this county as to what they would like to see within their future in the

State Senate assembly and congressional lines. So I look forward to hearing from you. Thank

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Assemblyman

6 Oaks?

you.

2.2

ASSEMBLY MEMBER ROBERT OAKS, NYS

LEGISLATIVE TASK FORCE ON DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH

AND REAPPORTIONMENT: I'd just like to quickly

add my welcome to everyone and a appreciation for

you taking time out of your schedule to be here

and look forward to hearing your comments today

as it impacts redistricting and for next year.

Thank you.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you.

Many people are submitting written testimony. In some cases, the testimony is very thick. We would ask you, we don't like to cut anyone off.

You certainly have a minimum of five minutes. If you're about to wrap it up, we can go a little bit longer. But when you do have large testimony being submitted, we would appreciate it if you would summarize that testimony. Everything that you say is being recorded. And will be turned

2.2

into a transcript. Everything you submit is part of the official record. And I'd like to start with County Legislature Kevan Abrams, Abrahams. Good morning.

HONORABLE KEVAN ABRAHAMS, LEGISLATOR,

NASSAU COUNTY: Good morning. Thank you for

accommodating my schedule. I'll be brief as I

read into my statement into the record. But I

want to thank first LATFOR for hosting two forums

on the Long Island area so the public can voice

their concerns regarding the upcoming

redistricting process.

I have served with the Nassau County
Legislature for nine years. As most of you know,
this year we endured our own ill advised
redistricting process in which the New York State
Court of Appeals had to intervene to rule against
a proposal that would implement redistricting for
two years prior than past practice as per our
county charter. Though this proposal was struck
down, due to the lack of insight and public
meetings, it disenfranchised many areas and split
communities of common interests. In light of the

2.2

judicial intervention, preventing the majority in the legislature from going forward, this will now become a fight in two years to ensure and preserve communities of common interest. Moving forward to this process, the state and congressional district redistricting, I would like to encourage this body to integrate some of the general themes to ensure the process is fair to taxpayers in this state.

First, make the redistricting process an open process. This will improve the imperceptions that residents have of government. There should be no secret deals. Thank you. There should be no secret deals behind closed doors. Two, create districts which are not gerrymandered and allow communities of common interest to vote as a block. And three, schedule as many redistricting meetings possibly in the evenings to allow working individuals an opportunity to participate.

On a point of history, the Latino and the African American communities and Nassau and Suffolk have been split dating back to the 1970s.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Being the representative of the first district in Nassau County, there are currently three senators that cover my area. Hempstead, I'm sorry. At the same time, District Six and Eight have continued to be divide the communities of color. Hempstead, Uniondale, Roosevelt, Freeport, and Lakeview have been divided into two districts. I encourage this body to address this disservice.

These communities have much in common such as social and economic status. I cannot see a reason why we would continue to divide these communities especially since African American and Latino pop--populations have increased and they're increasing in population in these portions of the county. We can very well warrant our very own district above the communities -- as I mentioned in the above communities if they join Many of my legislative district feel together. this is an unfair process and undermines Though this is a disservice to the democracy. public, I am not asking for more districts. The Senate should remain at 62. It is my understanding that the State constitution form a

2.2

2.3

mandates a 62 state senate, senate, senatorial district based on the 2010 census. In my opinion, what happened in 2002 when the Senate Majority chose to increase the number of Senate districts from 61 to 62, did the public a disservice. This action was seen as a device to prevent reapportionment of a district which warranted its lines to change so it would--may reflect the true population shift of New York State.

On Long Island, it is important we do not make the same mis-steps. We should give every effort to the reapportionment that the current lines of Long Island to reflect district lines that focus on the guiding principles of redistricting, such as vote--the Voter Rights Act and the state constitution. The rationale to divide these communities of common interests feeds into my constituents mindset that this process is unfair and not balanced.

I believe this is more of a question of how the lines are drawn with the current allotment of 62 seats more than the new lines

2.2

2.3

coming up with 63. We shouldn't ignore how our communities are changing. Therefore should join communities such as Hempstead, Lake View, and Uniondale, Freeport, and Roosevelt within the same senatorial district.

In conclusion, when you draw these district lines, put people before politics, please keep in mind the public is watching and accepting a fair and balanced process. LATFOR should use the following basic criteria to govern the redistricting process. Population equality, a 2% overall deviation from legislative districts, strict population equality for congressional districts, opportunities for minority communities, voting right compliance, common--communities of common interest and inherent to the state constitution as it mandates. Thank you for giving me an opportunity to speak.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you very much.

MR. ABRAHAMS: Thank you.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: County

DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT, 10-27-2011

Legislature Wayne Wink.

MR. WAYNE WINK, LEGISLATOR, NASSAU

COUNTY: Good morning lady and gentlemen.

2.2

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Good morning.

MR. WINK: I have not prepared text.

But I have jotted down a few notes which I'd like to read at this time.

I was planning on coming here today to discuss as a cautionary tale the fiasco of the Nassau County Legislature redistricting which Kevan Abrahams referred to just previously. And which was done without public input by a relative handful of political insiders and it was redistricting that would have shifted roughly 43%, over 570,000 people, in Nassau County from their existing districts into new districts throughout the county. The attempted larceny that was made of nearly 60% of my district was fortunately thwarted by the courts.

I had also expected to come here today
to discuss the inflammatory memo that was drafted
back in the last redistricting process on behalf
of the Senate Republicans and addressed the fact

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

that there was the use of the term undesirables strewn throughout that memo which I found both politically and racially insensitive. Though it does provide a unique, this memo does provide a unique but unintended over--insight into the opaque insular nature of these procedures. I had also hoped to address the historical division gerrymandering of Long Island's minority populations which have taken place each census historically, as I had planned to talk about these and several other issues. Instead, I find myself today in light of the New York Times editorial as well as the stories that have been previously run with respect to this process, calling upon you to end the charade as the Times refers to it and go to an independent commission, a commission which was actually endorsed by many of the incumbent legislators on both sides in both houses. I ask that you end this charade--SENATOR NOZZOLIO: [Interposing] I want

you to interrupt you right there.

MR. WINK: By all means.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: That you have the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

right to use whatever word you want to use.

MR. WINK: Well I'm quoting the New York Times.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Well I didn't hear you say you were quoting the New York Times.

MR. WINK: Well I can submit if you'd like a copy of the editorial.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: I'd be glad to have This is our 13th that submitted for the record. Hearing. We've spent countless hours. Hundreds and hundreds of hours taking testimony from citizens like yourself. Now you may agree or disagree with the fact that LATFOR has a responsibility under current law to do its job. And each member of the Board here of the Commission here, each member, which are four legislators and two citizen members, are spending their time to listen to citizens to provide their If you disagree with the fact that the input. laws require LATFOR to do this, I certainly respect that. Many citizens have voiced their opinion on that very issue. But to say what--to say the individual members of this commission are

2.2

2.3

engaging in a charade, is untrue, it's unfactual. We have a fiduciary responsibility under the current laws of New York to establish the process. Your argument is not with LATFOR. It's with the entire state legislature. And that I would respectfully ask you--

MR. WINK: [Interposing] And four are represented here today.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Well we are not--we are representing under the laws that currently exist of the state legislature. We are representing those laws. We were appointed to this Commission. Have a fiduciary obligation to adhere to the laws of the State of New York as they are existing today. If you want to change those laws, fine. Change those laws. Introduce resolutions and I'm sure you've authored many resolutions as a county legislator to establish an independent redistricting process for the State of New York. Have you not?

MR. WINK: For the State of New York? No I have not.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Well then if you

2 haven't then, then, then--

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

[Interposing] Because, MR. WINK: because Senator, if I, if I may, let me explain I have not issued such resolutions on my answer. behalf of Nassau County legislature for two very simple reasons. One, being as a member of the minority in the County legislature, I know for a fact that the presiding officer would never let that go anywhere. Two, we are blocked by the Nassau County charter from issuing what are known as sense of the legislature resolutions. Since we do not have direct control over, over the New York State legislature and over the redistricting process that, that takes place in New York State that would be a sense of the legislature resolution, we are not empowered to do that. I would. And were we in the Were we able to? majority, I would put it on a calendar. I do not have that power in either respect.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Well I respect that.

And frankly we do not have the power and maybe
you could understand this since you're--you
indicated the structural problems that you would

MR. WINK:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

have to engage in such a resolution. The four members of the legislature that are on this panel, the two citizen members who are on this panel, do not have the authority in and of ourselves to change the laws of the State of New York as they exist today.

[Interposing] Well Senator --SENATOR NOZZOLIO: So, so my comment to you is certainly voice whatever opinion you have but don't claim the members of this Panel in any way, shape, or form are engaging in as you term them under the cover of an editorial that you're using to call what we're doing here a charade.

MR. WINK: Well Senator, with all due respect, you may claim not to have the power to alter the LATFOR process and yet I know many, many members of the State legislature, in particular the Senate majority leader and my com--my current state senator, all signed onto the proposal for independent redistricting--

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: [Interposing] And the State Senate enacted a proposal to change the state constitution to require a independent from

1	DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT, 10-27-2011
2	the legislature process to engage in
3	redistricting.
4	MR. WINK: Ten years from now?
5	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: No. Well we had
6	changed the constitution. The constitution was a
7	constitutional amendment was put forward and at
8	that a constitutional amendment if enacted will
9	change the process.
10	MR. WINK: Ten years from now?
11	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Aswell it could be
12	earlier than that.
13	MR. WINK: So you plan on doing this
14	again in the future? Is that, is that what we're
15	talking about here?
16	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: It's been so
17	much fun. It's a great time.
18	MR. WINK: Well assemblymen, I welcome
19	you here to Nassau County. Any time you wish
20	and, and I can certainly find you good
21	accommodations but to come here for the LATFOR
22	process, I think is to, to come here with all due
23	respect, Senator, on a fool's errand. And in
24	fact, I call upon the governor to create the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

independent commission that can provide the lines that are believed to be the most transparent, the most accurate, and the most representative of the entire state and what the state strives to be and what the state should be, in an immediate fashion.

Now I know Senator, you know, there were those who were going to say that there was a significant separation of powers issue and that the governor shouldn't be responsible for figuring out how the legislative lines should be crafted. And yet we sit here today fully aware in the knowledge and seemingly okay with the fact that eventually this is going to go to the Courts and a special master appointed by a separate level of government, by a separate branch of government will in all likelihood draw all the lines that we're discussing here today. And if we're okay with that, then why aren't we okay with the governor appointing a commission and doing this on an independent basis. And that's my question to all of you here today.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: If I may, there is a

bill, it's a Shelly Silver Mill bill requested introduced at the request of the governor. A lot of people are on it. I'm on it as a co-sponsor The governor was not able to convince the senate that that bill without amendment, would not wind up with a balance of power in the ends of the democratic party versus the republican party. Now I'm sorry that the governor was unable to sit down and come to some kind of compromise over in the Senate. And I respect Senator Scallos for holding whatever opinion he feels is the responsible opinion representing his But if the governor had been successful to do that and we waited until the end of session and let's say a so-called independent commission was set up. By the way, most of these independent commissions are correctly bipartisan. They're not independent, looking for somebody with, uh, no strong bands.

MR. WINK: I understand.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Yeah.

MR. WINK: I mean, there's only so many

24 | - - -

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.2

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: [Interposing] I, I think what they mean--

MR. WINK: --administrators that are willing to step up.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Yeah, I think what they mean is non-legislative.

MR. WINK: Right.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: People from outside the legislature.

MR. WINK: I suspect you're right.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Although in the Governor's, there's still appointments from legislative leaders. The governor's bill does need some amendment. The 1% variance makes a lot of sense until you read the New York State constitution. And then you get into town on border, lock on border, the Voting Rights Act of 1965, and you get into areas where the percent has to be changed to protect the rights of minorities to ensure their participation and proper representation. And not everything that's ugly in the, in the lines now is a result of gerrymandering. Very often our people have moved

24

1

And the population has shifted around. enormously. Particularly with minorities who are now very significant percentage of different districts. But let's say that the governor was able to have that kind of leadership or diplomatic skill that we did have an independent commission. You'd be sitting right where you are now and you'd have six different people up here because the procedure is pretty basic to good government. We have originally 12, thanks to the urging of Senator Dilan and Bob Oaks, who felt we needed a higher profile in Nassau County respectively and up in Plattsburg for the North Country, its 14 public hearings. These hearings are more or less conceptual. What's wrong with the present lines, where would you like to see them changed? We invite written testimony. also love to see a follow-up with maps. Maps would be very, very helpful. It's easy to talk about it in the abstract. I love these people who say they're going to do it in an afternoon because of the software. These are people that have never done it.

1	Page 2 DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT, 10-27-2011
2	MR. WINK: Well I hate to you, I hate
3	to tell you Mr. Chairman
4	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: [Interposing]
5	But you'd be
6	MR. WINK: [Interposing] That's exactly
7	what happened in the county legislature this
8	year.
9	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Yeah.
10	MR. WINK: It was pretty much done in
11	an afternoon.
12	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Oh yeah well
13	we have one where they did all the election
14	districts by software. Absolute disaster.
15	MR. WINK: Right.
16	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: By the way,
17	when you go to the Courts, are you aware of what
18	happened with the Erie County legislature? A
19	bipartisan committee was set up. No, no
20	legislatures on it.
21	MR. WINK: Mm-hm.
22	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: They came up
23	with a plan. It went to the late legislature.
24	They made a couple of changes and passed it. The

2.2

2.3

county executive vetoed it. There wasn't enough votes for an override. And some citizen or citizens group somewhere quite properly sued because they're going into an election, an election with a malapportioned legislature. It went to the Court's. They cancelled the primary. So if you're a real good buddy with the political people in the--that alleged backroom, that's when you get to run this year in Erie County for the County legislature.

MR. WINK: Mm-hm.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: I listen to people again and again say we'd like to see competitive districts. Well all these districts will be competitive. If it's heavily one party or another, that's what we have primaries for. And to see a primary cancelled by the Court's, that's, that's a real scary thing. That's one of the major reforms in our government. It's almost a century old.

MR. WINK: And Mr. Chairman, I, I concur with that.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Yeah.

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

1

MR. WINK: Because I do understand that when it's left to the will of the political parties, it is not necessarily the will of the voters that is, that is first and foremost served. And that's all the more reason quite frankly why we should not be entertaining a tainted process. And I'm not saying that any of, of you ladies and gentlemen who are up here today are tainted in and of yourselves. But you are participating in a tainted process which inevitably will lead to litigation, that will inevitably lead to some of the absurd outcomes that, that Erie County raised and that we all are fearful of.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: That's if it goes to the courts. We, we do stress and we have been told by good government groups which by the way is a self-designated title, same as reformer is, that these have been helpful hearings. We have them on record. Because I've asked them, was this worthwhile to listen to what your fellow citizens had as an opinion? These were very useful hearings. And to see the New York Times

1

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2 call it a sham, the same hearings would have been held probably in the same locations. And as far 3 4 as having them at night instead of by day, we 5 have legislatures from all over who has have--who have had town meetings. We have groups that have 6 7 been in contact with their people. When they--we come in, we assume that they know their people 8 9 well, that they've had evening and weekend meetings as well. We don't have to restart the 10 11 whole process when we're trying to govern 12 19,000,000 people plus. But just to call it a 13 sham, believe me, the League of Women Voters if 14 they were doing it, and I have the greatest 15 respect for the league, they'd be doing the exact 16 same thing that we're doing right now.

MR. WINK: And Mr. Chairman, I, I appreciate your comments and, and as I say, I'm not trying to cast dispersions on any of the members of this, of this commission, but one of the things I've learned in my ten years of elected office and in my lifetime as, as an aid to elected officials before that, is that invariably bad process trumps good policy every

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

single time. And you are involved in a tainted process here. A number of members of the state legislature signed on to a procedure to independent commissions, signed onto literally a movement quite frankly, which required that LATFOR not exist inherently. And unfortunately, due to certain legal interpretations which I think were, were political in nature, you, you are still involved in a process that is tainted. So as much as I appreciate your, your concerns, as much as I know that each and every member of this commission have been involved legitimately and diligently in hearing public input, the fact of the matter is once again, bad process trumps good policy.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: The--you believe that those who give large sums of money should to political candidates be allowed to serve on an independent commission?

MR. WINK: Are we talking and--

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: [Interposing] Before

redistricting?

MR. WINK: Are we talking about the

1	Page 31 DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT, 10-27-2011
2	need for public financing and election.
3	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: No, no, no.
4	MR. WINK: You've got my vote on that.
5	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: No, no, no.
6	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Definitely.
7	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Iand let meit's
8	not a debate. It's a question.
9	MR. WINK: Okay.
10	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Do you believe that
11	major contributors to the governor, to the state
12	legislatures, should be allowed to serve on an
13	independent commission?
14	MR. WINK: I believe that the
15	appointees of any independent commission, whether
16	it be redistricting or any other, should be as
17	transparent and as free of undue influence as
18	possible. We have a system right now and the
19	reason why I, I left to the conclusion of public
20	financing, we have a system right now that is
21	anything but public financing. And it's a
22	system
23	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: [Interposing] Is that
24	a yes or a no?

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: So in your mind then,

24

	Page 33
1	DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT, 10-27-2011
2	and I guess the answer is yes?
3	MR. WINK: In my mind
4	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: [Interposing] That
5	it, it's
6	MR. WINK:that until, until the day
7	comes that we correct that
8	SENATOR NOZZOLIO:okay to
9	MR. WINK:that injustice system.
10	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Then the answer is
11	yes.
12	MR. WINK: The answer is yes.
13	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Okay. Then if the
14	answer is yes, how could you suggest that an
15	individual would in fact be independent? That is
16	the essence of the independent commission that
17	you, a spouse, you're saying is a campaign
18	contributions are okay. How about being a
19	director of a political action committee? Would
20	that, that's certainly legal enterprise but
21	should it be part, should someone who is that be
22	allowed to serve as a member of the so called
23	independent commission?
24	MR. WINK: I imagine that to the extent

1

24

2 that they're not identified with one or another individual candidate or individual party, that 3 4 that would be appropriate as well. But Senator, 5 let, let's get to the crux of this. Unlike partisan elections, okay, which are very 6 7 straightforward and we know who we're voting for 8 and we know who's supporting to a great extent 9 due to our online contributions, my contributions are as public as yours or anyone else's in the 10 11 state government, to the extent that we are 12 running with known quantities. With known, with 13 known supporters and, and known contributors, 14 then that is, that is where we find ourselves in. 15 The difference here, however, is that many, many 16 and I'm not asking of any of the four of you in 17 particular, signed onto the New York Uprising petition, but certainly we know the number of 18 19 state legislatures signed onto that petition and 20 then proceeded to go against what that petition 21 called for by pro--by perpetuating and continuing 2.2 the LATFOR system. And that I think is the 23 hypocrisy in the system.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: The independent

2.2

commissions of other states have had numerous missteps and hiccups because the selection process in effect replaced or was, was mislabeled. There was no, no genuineness behind a label of—you can stick a label of independent on somebody but if the process in New York for instance, has six democrats and two republicans chosen to select the membership of this committee, how is that independent?

MR. WINK: Well, Senator, first of all, I, I, I have read many of the same accounts you have, of the hiccups as you call them in other states. First and foremost, I would say that we are the empire state and we should and can do better than other states experiences have been. Secondly, I don't discount for a moment the possibility of hiccups and mistakes happening in our system, and yet I don't know think that perfection should be the enemy of the good. And I think taking that step is, is proper and right irrespective of whether or not it becomes the perfect solution.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: That's very well

1	Page 36 DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT, 10-27-2011
2	stated. But I guess my question was more in line
3	with elementary school mathematics. How does six
4	democrats and two republicans create a commission
5	that's not tilted to one political party? And
6	then therefore, not independent?
7	MR. WINK: Well I think, I think
8	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: [Interposing] Because
9	that's the formula
10	MR. WINK: [Interposing] Part of the
11	disposition though
12	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: That just, just let
13	me add why I'm asking the question.
14	MR. WINK: Mm-hm.
15	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: For the record, I'm
16	asking the question because the governor's bill
17	which you indicated you're fully in support of,
18	the governor's legislation created a process that
19	has that dramatic imbalance between a republican
20	and democrats. Six democrats selected, two

MR. WINK: Well first of all, I, I never endorsed the governor's bill. And in point in fact, as, as the co-chairman, Mr. McEneny has

republicans selected. How is that a fair system?

21

22

23

24

1	DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT, 10-27-2011
2	indicated, there, there are tweaks necessary and
3	I think proper to that bill. Secondly, I, I
4	don't necessarily support the supposition that a
5	democratic governor inherently is required to
6	appoint democratic appointees. Anymore than a
7	republican senate majority leader is required to
8	appoint republicans. I do believe that the
9	process for appointing these people should be as
10	transparent as the ultimate outcome of these
11	district lines. So to the extent that, that,
12	that answers your question, you know, and I thank
13	you for the compliment by the way.
14	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Any other comments
15	you wish to make Mr. Wink?
16	MR. WINK: I, I, I think, I think I
17	have expired my time.
18	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Any other questions
19	MR. WINK: [Interposing] Thank you very
20	much.
21	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Another questions of
22	the panel? Thank you very much.
23	MR. WINK: Thank you.
24	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: I should point

1	DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT, 10-27-2011
2	out, I had your position. It was my first
3	elected office. I was elected as a write in
4	candidate, not even in the machine, no party.
5	MR. WINK: Well I, I commend you on
6	that and I commend you on, on showing once again
7	there is life after the county legislature.
8	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Yeah, it's,
9	it
10	MR. WINK: [Interposing] Thank you.
11	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: By the way,
12	that was 20 years ago and I'm still not forgiven
13	in some circles.
14	ASSEMBLY MEMBER OAKS: Mr., Mr
15	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: [Interposing]
16	Yup?
17	ASSEMBLY MEMBER OAKS: Mr. Chairman, if
18	I might just make a, a quick comment on that.
19	Obviously the, the issue of legislation that
20	would create some independence or a bipartisan
21	non-legislative entity to do this function, I
22	think various people have expressed here
23	concerns. I have concerns with the governor's
24	bill. There's, there's a number of alternatives.

1

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2 Actually I'm a co-sponsor or a main sponsor of a piece of legislation that would do many of the 3 4 procedures of the governor's bill. But I, I 5 think your comment of saying fairness republicans or democrats, the reality is if that bill became 6 7 law, ten years from now, if the governor were republican, it would skew it the same way. It's 8 the insertion of the executive into the process 9 and giving the executive from whichever party 10 11 more of an involvement, I think that's the concern and so looking forward, I think whatever 12 13 may come down and certainly I know a number of 14 the members on this panel support an alternative 15 process, but we're here as a part of this one 16 today, is that it should be something that 17 doesn't favor of one political party or the other 18 as it goes forward.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you.

Deborah Misir. And if I mispronounced your name,
would you please--good morning.

MS. DEBORAH N. MISIR: Good morning,
Honorable members of the Committee. My name is
Deborah Misir, I'm an Attorney residing and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

practicing law here in Nassau County. I previously served as an attorney in the White House Counsel's office and the US Department of Justice.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Would you move in a little bit towards the mic or move toward--

MS. MISIR: [Interposing] Yup. I'm here as President of the Indian American Bar Association of Long Island and Oueens. serve on the Board of Advisors of the Irish American Bar Association of New York. Mvtestimony today reflects solely my legal opinion. First, I'd like to thank the task force for holding this public hearing in Long Island and for your commitment to listening to as many different voices in this great diverse state of I'd like to focus on two issues of concern ours. to the, to the committee. First, whether the proposed redistricting commission can be created without an amendment to the New York State Constitution. My review of the New York State Constitution in applicable precedent, shows that the Commission cannot be created without an

2 amendment to the New York State Constitution.

2.2

Second issue I'd like to focus on is whether the size of the New York State Senate should be expanded to 63 members from the current 62. From a public policy on good government perspective, I suggest that increasing the size of the Senate to 63 would prevent dilution of each New York citizens vote and stop the legislative deadlock that unfortunately would have experienced in the last few sessions.

One reason I'm here today is I've read in the media that state legislatures and this task force have received some criticism for not supporting the establishment of a so called independent commission. I don't think this criticism is fair because as, as I mentioned, I believe you need to have a New York State amendment. You need to have an amendment to the New York State Constitution. Under the New York State Constitution, the State legislature is vested and only vested with the power to readjust and reapportion Senate and assembly districts.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

1

The state legislature as a matter of law power. cannot simply forego or delegate its constitutional responsibility. Moreover, it's questionable whether a redistricting commission could be created for a period extending beyond the existing legislative session. Finally, I would suggest the state legislature cannot bind its future actions through the creation of such an independent commissioner.

An amendment, on the other hand, would

be a leg--legitimate method of establishing an independent commission. It would have the power to provide for the commission's powers, authorization, and funding. And they would also be able to lay out what role the commission would play in any inevitable litigation over the proposals the commission came up with. I would also note, just as a legal matter, that if there is an amendment to the New York State Constitution considered, we should look at other provision, provisions that need to be upgraded in our, in our voting scheme. As currently written, certain apportionment provisions do not appear to

2.2

pass muster with the equal protection clause of the US Constitution. And here I'm referring to that old case in 1964, the Laminzo [phonetic] case. Now the New York State Constitutional Convention of 1967 proposed certain amendments to the New York State Constitution to meet the concerns of the US Supreme Court in Laminzo, but the proposals were rejected by the voters. So the legal issues still remains. So any effort to amend the constitution and any effort to look at these eff--these redistricting provisions should be carefully reviewed in light of that old Laminzo case.

Also, just to echo what has been said earlier, the current proposal, the current proposal for an independent commission, does not fairly represent the spectrum of political parties across the state. The proposal would have the commission comprised of eight appointees, four appointed by the governor, one by the Senate Majority Leader, one by the Senate Minority leader, one by the assembly speaker, and one by the assembly minority leader. So six

2.2

members would be elected by democrats as current-as we are currently constituted and only two by
republicans. This does not strike me as an
independent commission. If we had a republican
governor, it would be similarly unfair to
democrats because it would be skewed towards
republicans. So I believe this proposal runs
directly contrary to the spirit and text of the,
of the New York State Constitution which vests
the legislative branch, not the executive branch
or the power to reapportion.

I do support the legislation passed by
the New York State Senate this past March because
it was a bit more evenly balanced with four
members selected by the legislature, a democrat
and republican from the Senate and a democrat and
republican from the assembly who would then work
together to pick an independent citizen member
similar to the citizen members we have sitting on
LATFOR today. This is exactly the kind of
bipartisan process we need in New York. However,
as you all are more familiar than I am, we are
running against the clock at this point. I

2.2

understand that primaries have been moved to June of 2012. So the state government must complete red--redistricting in the next six months. We simply do not have the time to experiment with a redistricting commission. For that reason, I, I, I suggest that the task force itself should conduct redistricting for this election cycle. It is bipartisan and comprised of experienced members who have had the opportunity to listen to the voices of New York State citizens at these hearings. I believe the ta--the task force can at this point best represent the interests of New Yorker's.

And with regard to the second issue I'd like to bring to your attention on the increase of the New York State Senate, I know it's been raised at several previous hearings. I'm here to support the proposal to increase it to 63 for two reasons. First, the increase of the size of the Senate would prevent the dilution of each New Yorker's vote. Second, as a practical matter, increasing the size of the Senate to 63 would avoid legislative gridlock. Certain individuals

24

1

who have testified before this task force in the past, have suggested there is no constitutional basis for creating other than 62 seats in the current redistricting. And respectfully, I, I'm here to testify that that position is simply not true and it's ludicrous. Contrary to the plain language of the New York State Constitution. New York State Constitution initially fixed the number of senators at 50. And here I'm looking at a Article 3 Section 2 of the New York State Constitution. However, the New York State Constitution goes on in Section 4 to provide that the state legislature can readjust or alter the number of senators above 50 to ensure the integrity of populous counties and that the residents of those counties are not deprived representation. And in fact, the New York State Court of Appeals itself in the Case Dowling in 1916 approved increasing the number of state Indeed, this was most recently senators. demonstrated in 2002 when state law section 123 increased the size of the senate from 61 to 62. So there's no question that increasing the size

1

24

2 of the senate at 63 is lawful and permitted. When if the task force decides to recommend that 3 4 or the senate and or the assembly decide to 5 proceed along those lines, the -- they would want to be mindful of the requirements of the US 6 7 Constitution relating to the one person, one vote, one vote requirement, and the New York 8 9 State Con--Constitution which requires that the integrity of the various counties be preserved 10 11 when redistricting. With respect to the federal 12 one person, one vote requirement, the US Supreme 13 Court has held that the State legislative plans 14 may not deviate from a below 10%--may not deviate 15 above 10% to be acceptable under the voting 16 rights act and the US Constitution and that was 17 affirmed in the Rodriguez case in 2004. With 18 regard to the New York State Constitution, the 19 New York Court of Appeals has again said that we 20 have to respect integrity of the county units. 21 So any redistricting effort has to look at those 2.2 two, those two competing concerns and, and come 23 up with the fairest plan.

The most important reason to me why we

2.2

should increase from 62 to 63 is frankly the voter dilution. New York's population has increased over the past 10 years from 18,976,811 persons to 19,378,102 persons. So currently, each of the 62 senators represents approximately 360,077 individuals. So the average number of a person represented by a senator in a 63 setup, would be 307,588 people. If we remained at 62 however, they would be representing a larger number of individuals at 312,550 individuals. And of course, we'd be better served having an odd number of senators so we would not have deadlocked votes in the senate. And stop the conduct of business in Albany.

In conclusion, I'd like to also add as

President of the Indian American Bar Association,

that there are no Indian American's in the US

State Senate, to my knowledge none in the New

York State Assembly, none on the New York State

Bench. We make up a considerable portion of the

New York State population. We're active, proud

Americans. I would urge the committee to

consider redistricting so the Indian American's

2.2

have an opportunity to have their voices heard in the Senate. And I would urge the task force to do your best to ensure that we have competitive districts for the state legislature and congressional seats after redistricting. I think everyone here would agree that our interests are better served when competitive elections are run. Thank you very much for your time and consideration.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you. Senator Dilan?

SENATOR DILAN: Yes. Thank you for your testimony and I see that you have done a somewhat thorough job with respect to abiding by the law.

MS. MISIR: Yes, sir.

SENATOR DILAN: And I believe you have cited some cases here for us. My question has to do with the current formula that's in the New York State Constitution. According to information that I have and testimony from previous hearings, many individuals have testified that if we literally interpreted the constitution of the State of New York and the

2.2

current formula that's in there, you come up with a number of 62. Howe do you come up with a number of 63?

MS. MISIR: Well you're absolutely right, sir. The formula is indeed very complex. The formula does not specify a specific number. It goes by the census numbers as they're fed into the county numbers.

SENATOR DILAN: How do you answer my question as to many have testified that if you apply the formula the way it's written, you comeit equals 62. And if you want to argue from-for an odd number, you talk about 63.

MS. MISIR: Yes, sir.

SENATOR DILAN: Why not 61? You also talk about dilution of the boat. Maybe you want to explain that a little further because if you maintain the lowest deviation possible in every single district within the Senate throughout the entire state, then you would be abiding by the one person, one vote principal so how would you be diluting someone's vote? If you made all districts equal in terms of population, wherever

2 that is possible.

2.2

MS. MISIR: Well I hear what you're saying Senator Dilan. And I actually share your perspective. I, I think that--

SENATOR DILAN: [Interposing] But my point is here you're asking us to apply the law everywhere with the exception of a formula that's within the constitution of State of New York.

Why the exception?

MS. MISIR: No, no that's not true
Senator. That's not true. I'm suggesting that
we strictly adhere to the New York State
Constitution and it does not fix the number of
state senate seats at 62. It is, it is a
flexible in, in their wisdom, the folks who wrote
the New York State Constitution understood that
the population could grow and increase. They
also were very concerned with maintaining the
integrity of representation of counties and not
breaking up counties. So they provided for an
increase of your—of state senators from 50 up to
whatever amount the, the, the body found to
be acceptable, they provided for that in the New

SENATOR DILAN: That has to be that the formula--

MS. MISIR: [Interposing] I've, I've
seen test--

24 SENATOR DILAN: --results in 62.

20

21

later.

2.2

SENATOR DILAN: Sure. We'd love to see that.

MS. MISIR: But it's, it's absolutely clear from the plain language of the New York State Constitution, that the number does not have to be 62. It merely has to reflect the increase in population of New York State residents and comport with the notion that counties cannot be split up, that counties must remain integrated.

SENATOR DILAN: We understand that has to do also here we have a situation where although the population of the State of New York did increase, however, here we are losing two congressional districts and according to the information I have, it's strictly applied, the formula would equal 62. And then we have to justify, justify to the people of the State of New York that if we're increasing the size of the Senate, doesn't make sense.

MS. MISIR: Senator Dilan, you're, you're right. We are losing two congressional seats. That's unfortunate but that's actually a

1	DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT, 10-27-2011
2	totally different matter. That's on the federal
3	side because we lost population. And so they've
4	given our two congressional seats to other
5	states.
6	SENATOR DILAN: I look forward to seeing
7	your analysis.
8	MS. MISIR: Thank you, sir. Thanks for
9	the questions.
10	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Senator
11	Nozzolio?
12	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you, Mr.
13	Chairman and, and thank you counselor for your
14	discussions and
15	MS. MISIR: [Interposing] Thanks, sir.
16	SENATOR NOZZOLIO:your input. I
17	think Senator Dilan's question about the size of
18	the Congress
19	SENATOR DILAN: [Interposing] Oh I never
20	talked about the size of the Congress. I
21	mentioned that we're losing two Congressional
22	seats sir.
23	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Well then Senator
24	Dilan's comments about losing

1	Page 5 DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT, 10-27-2011
2	SENATOR DILAN: [Interposing] Congress
3	equals 435. I wasn't talking about that.
4	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: I guess the apples and
5	oranges of what I'm talking about it's an apple
6	and an orange in terms of comparing the two and I
7	think that
8	SENATOR DILAN: [Interposing] It's
9	ironic that you do it every time you talk about
10	the prisoner law.
11	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Pardon me for
12	continuing to talk while you're interrupting
13	Senator. That what I had hoped in our dialogue,
14	I would better understand these questions and
15	these formulas and they are extremely complicated
16	but I think you're, you're mentioning that it's
17	constitutional based in terms of the size of the
18	Senate. Is that not right?
19	MS. MISIR: Yes, sir. We believe that
20	it's set by the constitution and we should adhere
21	to the state constitution.
22	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: And that state

MS. MISIR: Yes, it does.

constitution has a formula?

23

24

_	
2	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: And that formula has
3	been affirmed by prior courts, counselor, is that
4	your understanding?
5	MS. MISIR: Yes, Senator. And the
6	Dowling case in 1916 her in New York and no one
7	has, no one has said it's anything other than
8	appropriate.
9	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: So the formula,
10	although complex, is stated clearly in the
11	constitution and refined further by case law?
12	MS. MISIR: Yes, sir.
13	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: That your assessments
14	are helpful and that I too would look forward to
15	further analysis that you may provide on this
16	question?
17	MS. MISIR: Thank you, sir, I would be
18	glad to do that.
19	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you.
20	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Senator Dilan?
21	SENATOR DILAN: Yeah, just, just to
22	clarify, I'm not questioning the formula. I am
23	asking questions as to how the witness comes up

with the number 63. She has indicated that she

24

2.2

will provide additional analysis to show us that and to prove all the other witnesses that have testified here wrong. And I look forward to seeing that and, and when I mention the congressional districts, I was talking about the size of the New York State delegation and indicating that we're losing two seats there and here we're trying to increase the size of the Senate. Thank you.

MS. MISIR: Thank you, Senator.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: And I guess I have yet to see analysis from other than Mr. Breitbart that those who have testified in favor or opposed to increasing the size of the Senate, that I have yet to see any formal analysis done, even though that was requested I know by myself in our Suffolk hearing, that that has yet to be obtained. So I think certainly when it is obtained, we need to share it with all members of the task force. So thank you very, very much for your testimony today.

MS. MISIR: Thank you.

Phone: 212-227-7440 * 800-221-7242 * Fax: 212-227-7524

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Yes, if I may.

2.2

You, you mentioned the importance of the growing Indian American population in New York State.

And we were addressed in a number of cases we've had alternative plans that have already been submitted on a, on the band, on behalf of number of minorities in a coalition. We certainly had a number of Asians at the Queens hearing for example. Is the Indian Community a part of that coalition or those coalitions?

MS. MISIR: I'm sure that some Indian folks may be part of it sir. Indian people are both democrats and republicans.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Yes, I know.

MS. MISIR: So we're not supporting one party or another.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Okay.

MS. MISIR: We're simply saying we've been in the United States now for many generations. There are many Indian folks in New York State, serve in the military proudly, work hard, pay their taxes, and simply want to make sure their voices are heard. That does not necessarily mean that there should be an Indian

2.2

seat or an Indian representative. It merely means that we would like to see re--redistricting so they have a chance to express their voice.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Well in a practical matter, would you--would it be fair to say that where there are concentrations, you would urge those concentrations be kept together in one district at whatever level?

MS. MISIR: Yes, sir. And I think that's no different what—than what other groups have done in our state historically.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Mm-hm. That'
true. Just for the record since this becomes a
permanent record, there's no constitutional
prohibition on having, for example, speaker
Silvers bill which was submitted at the
introduced at the request of the governor.
There's no prohibition on having a so called
independent committee involved constitutionally
as long as the final vote is the New York State
Legislature. It's still totally a legislative
matter.

The other thing that I would personally

1	Page 6 DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT, 10-27-2011
2	urge is that the governor should read the bill
3	before he decides to veto it. I think that's not
4	good government to say you're going to veto
5	something just because people put it together
6	through a legal process that you don't agree
7	with. Read the bill, judge it on its merits,
8	just as we judge people and appointments on their
9	merits and then either pass it, sign it, or veto
10	it based upon whether it meets good public
11	policy.
12	MS. MISIR: We agree with that sir.
13	Thank you.
14	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Yes, Senator
15	Dilan?
16	SENATOR DILAN: I, I just have one more
17	question. How are they to receive notice of this
18	meeting?
19	MS. MISIR: I'm sorry, sir?
20	SENATOR DILAN: How did you become aware
21	of this meeting?
22	MS. MISIR: Just from my general civic
23	knowledge. I pay attention what's going on in my
24	state.

Page 63
DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT, 10-27-2011
thethank you very much.
MS. MISIR: You, you do have a website.
SENATOR DILAN: So?
MS. MISIR: So, so anyone who pays
attention canis free to go to your website.
SENATOR DILAN: Cite the website.
MS. MISIR: And see what you're doing.
SENATOR DILAN: Just cite the website.
MS. MISIR: It's LATFOR, isn't it?
SENATOR DILAN: That's how you found
out?
MS. MISIR: Absolutely.
SENATOR DILAN: Okay thank you.
ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you.
Are, are there any further questions? We're glad
that you made it. We appreciate your comments.
We would look forward, that is, as you know, a
controversial issue and if you do have anymore
that you want to submit in writing on the
question of the size of the Senate, that could be
helpful. Thank you very much.
MS. MISIR: Thank you. I would be glad
to do that. Thank you for your time.

	Page 6
1	DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT, 10-27-2011
2	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you.
3	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: David
4	Stonehill? If any elected officials that have
5	not been at least recognized have come into the
6	hall, please let us know because we can't
7	recognize you because of the, the lighting. Good
8	morning Mr. Stonehill.
9	MR. DAVID STONEHILL: Good morning
10	everyone.
11	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Good morning.
12	MR. STONEHILL: Iexcuse me. I brought
13	in a friend and colleague, Paul Evans. Mr. Evans
14	is also an election attorney. He can
15	MS. MISIR: [Interposing] Can you scoot
16	up
17	MR. STONEHILL: He can mention a little
18	more in terms of who he is and that sort of
19	thing. And since he was intending on speaking
20	today anyway, it just made more sense that, you
21	know, we'd come up together.
22	MR. PAUL EVANS: I think our testimony
23	we're going to overlap significantly.
24	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you.

force on October 5th in Farmingdale.

24

2.2

23

24

Today I wanted to discuss briefly some policy perspectives regarding how the redistricting process, scheduled for 2012, should be handled. Generally, redistricting in New York State has been handled on a bipartisan basis. However, both major--two major parties have to recognize that a change in legislative and congressional district lines should occur in such a way as to benefit all citizens of this state. Line drawing should not be blatantly or directly political in scope but should be viewed as a way to encourage political participation by citizens and not as a way to suppress it for any reason. Currently, the state senate is a 62 person chamber. Proposals have been aired about increasing this number to 63. Whether the upper chamber of the New York State legislature should be composed of an even or odd number of seats, makes for a fascinating philosophical debate. But we're not present today to have a philosophical debate. The question we should be considering is what should be the proper number of New York State Senators now that we have the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2010 census statistics. The answer to this question needs to comport with the New York State constitution and relevant case law. How these districts should be drawn is yet another question but I will not be going into that matter.

There's certainly enough people here today who will be going into that.

The formula for determining a number of state senate districts is based on Article 3, Section 4, paragraph 3, of the New York State Constitution originally adopted in 1894. The ratio for apportioning Senators shall always be obtained by dividing the number of inhabitants by 50 and the Senate shall always be composed of 50 members accept that if any county having three or more senators at the time of any apportionment shall be entitled on such ratio to add an additional senator or senators. Such additional senator or senators shall be given to such county in addition to the 50 senators and the whole number of senators shall be increased to that extent. Policy behind this was to prevent smaller upstate counties from being overly

24

1

dominated by large downstate cities. Of course things have changed since 1894. We have the internet as well as the fact that the Bronx and Nassau Counties were created. The Bronx was carved out of New York and Westchester Counties. Nassau was taken out of Queens County. Richmond and Suffolk County formed one senatorial district in 1894 which is certainly not the case now. With an increase in state population over the years, the creation of the two new counties and the rise of the legal doctrine of equal representation, it would be no surprise to an attorney anyway, that a legislative reapportion, reapportionment would be challenged in a state A challenge to the legislative interpretation of paragraph 3 was litigated in Snyder versus Rockefeller, 1972. This case involved a 1972 legislative reapportionment based upon the 1970 census. In a nutshell, the Court of Appeals upheld the legislatures determination that the population of the Bronx, New York, and Westchester Counties should be added together and then divided by 150th, 50 being a number of 1894

1

23

24

2 senators of the entire New York State Population in order to determine the number of senators for 3 The same method was also 4 these three counties. 5 applied to Queens, Nassau, Richmond, and Suffolk This meth--this method resulted in 60 6 Counties. 7 total senate districts in 1972. The legislature followed this procedure in 1982 and 1992. 8 9 should add that this method has not been challenged in Court since 1972. Following this 10 11 rule, meant a total of 61 senators in 1982 and 1992. Utilizing the rule in 2002 would have 12 13 provided for 61 districts. Applying it today 14 would provide 62 districts. However, the above 15 rule was not followed by the senate in 2002. 16 Instead, it's outside counsel, Michael Carvin 17 used a more complex formula that counted total 18 population of the six affected counties. 19 particular formula was not used the Court in 20 Mr. Carvin came up with the total of 62 Snyder. 21 senators and that is the number the Senate, the 2.2 state currently has.

Utilizing the 2010 census and the Carvin method, you still would have 62 senators in 2012.

Whether you prefer the Court of Appeals method or the Carvin method, you were still left with a Senate with 62 seats for re-apportionment next year. It would be fun to speculate new numbers in the future but right now, we have to follow the provisions of the State Constitution.

With all due respect, I do not see how we can legally justify more than 62 senators. If we added more than 62 senators, the redistricting process becomes arbitrary and capricious and nobody wants that. I want to thank this task force for again allowing me the opportunity to testify before you. Your work is more important than ever and I promise not to be in Plattsburg.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you.

MR. STONEHILL: Thank you.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Perhaps we go to Mr. Evans since you're on a similar topic?

MR. EVANS: I don't have--I would just repeat what he said. I would think I would waste your time.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Senator Dilan?

SENATOR DILAN: Yes, sir. Thank you for

2.2

1	Page 71 DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT, 10-27-2011
2	your testimony. So in essence, what you're
3	testifying relates to the question that I asked
4	the previous witness that if you strictly apply
5	the formula of the constitution in the sections
6	that you mentioned, it equals 62.
7	MR. EVANS: Yes, Senator.
8	SENATOR DILAN: So strictly apply it
9	making no exceptions?
10	MR. EVANS: Yes.
11	SENATOR DILAN: Okay.
12	MR. EVANS: Under, under either of the
13	two methods that Mr. Stonehill just discussed,
14	the method that the Court of Appeals used in the
15	Snyder case or the method that's on the LATFOR
16	website right now, under the question of how
17	should redistricting be done, which is the Carvin
18	memorandum, memo that Mr. Stonehill mentioned
19	earlier. Both, both methods would yield 62
20	senators?
21	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Could you,
22	could you speak up? I can't hear you. Could you
23	speak up?
24	[Crosstalk]

1	Page 72 DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT, 10-27-2011
2	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Pull that,
3	pull that closer.
4	SENATOR DILAN: So if we follow
5	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: [Interposing] I'm
6	sorry. Go ahead.
7	MR. EVANS: Repeat what I just said?
8	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Would you Mr.
9	Evans? Yes.
10	MR. EVANS: Sure.
11	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you.
12	MR. EVANS: Apologies. Under either the
13	method that the Court of Appeals used in the
14	Snyder case which Mr. Stonehill mentioned earlier
15	or the method that was used by Mr. Carvin and is
16	recorded in a memo that's on the LATFOR website
17	under how redistrictunder the frequently asked
18	question how should redistricting be done, both
19	methods would yield 62 senate, 62 senators.
20	SENATOR DILAN: All right. So I don't
21	have any further questions. Thank you very much.
22	MR. STONEHILL: Thank you very much.
23	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: I, I have some
24	questions. Mr. Chairman, if I may? Very nice to

1	DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT, 10-27-2011
2	see you again. You were in Suffolk County and
3	that I'd hope that we'd see further submissions
4	from you.
5	MR. STONEHILL: Always a pleasure sir.
6	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Mr. Stonehill. Mr.
7	Stonehill and Skinner?
8	MR. EVANS: Evans.
9	MR. STONEHILL: Evans.
10	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Evans, Evans. This
11	is very helpful to discuss these issues. And I
12	would like to further discuss them with you. Do
13	youare you saying that the Court of Appeals had
14	changed their minds since the Snyder decision and
15	have somehow overturned that decision? Were you
16	saying that either one of you?
17	MR. EVANS: Not any way.
18	MR. STONEHILL: No.
19	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Okay. So youin
20	your view that, that formula that the Court had
21	put forward in Snyder is still existing today?
22	There have been no
23	MR. EVANS: [Interposing] Snyder
24	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Yes. So there was no

1 DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT, 10-27-2011 2 additional Snyder v. Rockfield. There's no additional changes in your mind in that formula 3 4 since the 1972 decision? Is that not correct? 5 MR. EVANS: Snyder has not been overruled. 6 7 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Have there been any cases that have said that 63 seats are not 8 9 acceptable for the Senate? You know of any cases that, that indicated that? 10 11 MR. EVANS: I'm not sure why there 12 would--I'm not sure why there would be a case 13 that would say that. 14 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: So you're not aware 15 of any cases that, that have indicated that this-16 17 MR. EVANS: [Interposing] If you, if you adopt the formula, if you use the formula that 18 19 was used for the Court of Appeals in the Snyder 20 case, you get to 62 senators. This may come a 21 time when the New York population is such that 2.2 you may yield 63. So the, the, the same case, 23 the case that I just mentioned could eventually 24 yield 63. It's possible.

2.2

MR. STONEHILL: I, I guess we're a little confused also because we don't have a situation in which the task force or for that matter any official body of the State of New York has said there should be 63. So it hasn't been challenged—that, that aspect hasn't been challenged in Court.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Do you have--and I, I appreciate that you walking through some legal steps, but as we discussed in Suffolk, there's no at least with your written submission, there's no mathematical analysis that accompanies this. There you suggest the formula but you don't discuss the, the mathematics.

MR. EVANS: I'd be happy to submit a chart.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO:

MR. EVANS: I would happily to submit a chart.

Pardon me sir?

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: A chart?

MR. EVANS: That will show how the mathematics is done. How the formula is, is used on the numbers.

1	DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT, 10-27-2011
2	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: That, that's really
3	what I had asked for in Suffolk and that any
4	mathematical submission would be welcome because
5	it just doesn'tyour testimony, at least Mr.
6	Stonehill's testimony does not get into any of
7	the mathematical formulas that you used and $I^\prime m$,
8	I, I don't see how you're questioning any of the
9	mathematical formulas that the Court used in
10	MR. EVANS: [Interposing] I'm not going
11	to
12	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: [Interposing] 70 or
13	MR. STONEHILL: [Interposing] We're not.
14	MR. EVANS: I'm not questioning when
15	you
16	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: [Interposing] How can-
17	_
18	MR. EVANS:the Court in Snyder sir.
19	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: And again, I know
20	this is very complicated so if you're not
21	questioning the formula that the Court has used,
22	you then need to show how it would be very
23	helpful if you could how your math somehow is
24	reflective of what the Court and the constitution

1	Page 7 DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT, 10-27-2011
2	require. And you said you have a chart for us
3	on, on that?
4	MR. EVANS: Yeah it's easy. It's no
5	problem.
6	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: It's easy?
7	MR. EVANS: I could explain it to you
8	right now but it'll take a little bit more time.
9	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Very good. Then I
10	would appreciate, appreciate that and we'll look
11	forward to see your mathematical explanation of
12	what you had put forward in today's testimony.
13	Thank you very much.
14	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Senator Dilan?
15	SENATOR DILAN: In, in your statement
16	here, do you describe how the formula works? I
17	think you, you take steps in here. Can you refer
18	to that in here because he's saying we're hearing
19	that there's no description as to the math
20	mathematical formula, that I think you try to
21	describe that in the statement. Is that correct?
22	MR. STONEHILL: I, I, I'm mentioning it.
23	I'm not going into the entire
24	SENATOR DILAN: [Interposing] Detail

1	DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT, 10-27-2011
2	substance of what we're saying.
3	SENATOR DILAN: Thank you.
4	MR. STONEHILL: Thank you.
5	SENATOR DILAN: Yes.
6	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you very
7	much. Todd Breitbart? Good morning.
8	MR. TODD BREITBART: Good morning.
9	Before I begin with the remarks I have prepared
10	today, I have with me the table that Senator
11	Nozzolio just asked for. In fact, it, it's
12	available in the written testimony that I
13	submitted to you on September $22^{ m nd}$. I would be
14	happy to give you another copy so that you could
15	see how, how the two formulas work.
16	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Is it the same
17	thing that Mr. Evans
18	MR. BREITBART: [Interposing] It
19	probably will be because there's only one way to
20	make a calculation.
21	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: But it isis
22	it identical or youhave you verified his that
23	it matches yours?
24	MR. BREITBART: I haven't seen any

Yup.

independent calculation by, by him. But you will find tables in the statement that, that I just gave you another copy of that I submitted on September 22nd which--

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: [Interposing]
No your, your assumption is that his will match
yours?

MR. BREITBART: Well anyone who gets the county combobulations right will, will, will produce the same, the same results. And the tables that I've given you show how the formula that the, the interpretation that was upheld in Snyder and used in '72, '82, and '92 and the alternative or interpretation that was propounded by the Senate majorities outside counsel, Mr. Carvin in 2002, both of those interpretations—

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: [Interposing]

MR. BREITBART: --if applied to the 2010 census counts, would yield a Senator 62 district. So you don't even have to resolve the question for 2012 or whether one or the other is, is the better. Any number of Senate districts accepts

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

62 would be a departure from all constitutional precedent. I've also included tables showing that after you finish making the amendment of the redistricting data said based upon the prisoner populations, that that cannot produce any change in the application of this formal.

What Senator Nozzolio said earlier to the effect that he had not seen any interpretation or analysis except mine, that would produce a Senate of 62 districts is not quite correct because as Mr. Evans pointed out, you go to the frequently asked questions page of the LATFOR website. And if you click on the link that says click here for technical determination of the size of the Senate, you will get Mr. Carvin's memorandum from March 7th, 2002 and if you apply the same legal interpretation that he lays out very carefully in that memorandum to the 2010 census data, then you will get 62 districts as is explained in the technical appendix to the statement I gave you on September 22nd. You paid Mr. Carvin handsomely for his legal advice. You're doing so again. You've given his law firm

2.2

a contract for billings of up to \$3,000,000 in connection with the current redistricting ground. What I urge you to do as I did on September 22nd is to embrace the interpretation and his memorandum of March 7th, 2002 and just settle finally the fact that there will be 62 senates, senate districts if the third paragraph of Article 3, Section 4 of the state constitution is interpreted properly. Now, now I'd like to proceed with the other remarks I had prepared for today which are, are on another subject.

My name is Todd Breitbart for the record. I directed the staff work on redistricting for the democratic leaders of the State Senate from 1980 through my retirement in two--at the end of 2005. I do not now work for a representative min--minority leader. The opinions I express are solely my own. The written statement and maps I have submitted today are the same that I submitted on October 5th. At that hearing, I summarized the facts relating to Suffolk County. Today I will discuss the history of racially discriminatory Senate redistricting

2 in Nassau County.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Since its figures show that the non-Hispanic white population of Long Island has declined during each of the last two decades, but Long Island has maintained its share of the total state population and its share of State Senate districts, because of the growth of black, Hispanic and Asian American populations. large and growing African American and Latino communities in Nassau and Suffolk Counties are concentrated in the same villages and hamlets, district boundaries that divide one group diluting its volume power dived both. African American and Latino communities have been systematically split each time the Senate district boundaries have been redrawn after the This pattern can be seen in 1972, 1982, census. 1992, and 2002 in Nassau County and in 1982, 1992, and 2002 in Suffolk County.

At your previous hearing, I described how the minority communities in the town of Islip were repeatedly split between the third and fourth senate districts by a boundary that never

2.2

varied from one decade to the next. And how the minority communities in the town of Babylon were split between the fourth and eighth districts.

Also by a boundary that varied hardly at all from one decade to the next even while the districts were extensively redrawn around those fixed features. The boundary between the sixth and eighth districts of Nassau County shows the same design. In 1972, that boundary ran east to west through Roosevelt and in 1982, 1992, and 2002, it was moved a little bit to the north and has followed the Roosevelt Uniondale boundary.

In all other respects, however, two districts, the two districts have been changed greatly over the decades. Both were first entirely within the town of Hempstead. Then they were extended into the town of Oyster Bay as far as the county line. And the eighth district later reached across the county line into the town of Babylon. But all the changes have preserved one fixed feature. The line dividing the minority communities. Uniondale and the Village of Hempstead are always in the Sixth

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

District, Roosevelt and Freeport always in the Eighth District. The adjoining area of Westbury and New Castle has meanwhile been placed in the Seventh District along with Almont and South Flora Park while North Valley, Valley Stream has consistently been placed in the Ninth District and South Hempstead and Baldwin have been divided between the Eighth and Ninth Districts.

Contrary to suggestions made by the cochairman on October 5th, the persistence of this pattern when, when the 2002 Senate districts are overlaid on 2010 cen--10 census data, is not an accident. It represents the success of an intentional discriminatory design. Look at pages four and eight of the map set I have provided which show the black and Hispanic populations of Nassau County from the 2000 census overlaid with the 2002 senate districts and you will see the same pattern as in map, map pages five, nine, and ten which show the 2010 census data overlaid with the same 2002 Senate districts. For Suffolk County, look at pages 14 and 18 showing the 2000 census data overlaid with the 2002 census

1

21

2.2

23

24

2 districts and you will see the same pattern as in pages 15, 19, and 20 which show the 2010 census 3 data overlaid with the 2002 census districts. 4 5 Pages four to six of my written statement provide the numerical data showing how the district 6 7 boundaries that split the minority communities in each redistricting were so artfully designed that 8 9 those communities remained evenly divided even as they continued to grow during the subsequent 10 11 decade. Do not attribute this accom--this 12 accomplishment to mere chance. Take credit for 13 the artfulness of this design but resolve not to 14 repeat it. It remains to be seen, whether as a 15 matter of law, you can get away with continuing this discriminatory pattern through what would 16 17 now be a full half century. That will depend in part on the statis--the statistical analysis of 18 19 recent voting patterns and other data and unstill 20 evolving judicial precedence.

But the important question before you is not a question of law. It is a question of justice and wisdom. What sort of redistricting will produce a healthy democracy? It would be

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

unwise and unjust to continue the systematic splitting of the minority communities in Long Island so that minority votes cannot exercise a substantial voice in the election of any senator and cannot compel effective representation of the interests of their communities. Even if you may do it, you should not. You have repeatedly asserted that the governor should not veto a redistricting plan merely because this task force has designed it without considering its merits. But if your new design perpetuates through a full half century, the systematic splitting of Long Island minority communities, the governor should certainly veto that design for it's appalling lack of merit.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Members?
Senator?

SENATOR DILAN: Yeah, I just have--I just have one quick question and it refers again to the size of the Senate. You indicated that the Carvin memo is still on the LATFOR website?

MR. BREITBART: Yes, if you go to the frequently asked question page, you'll see a link

24

1

that says click here or words to that effect for technical determination, the size of the Senate, you click on that link, you'll get Carvin's memo. Now Mr. Carvin in the memo says his, his, his interpretation yields 62 districts. He was referring of course to the 2000 census data from 2000. But if you apply the same method, the same interpretation of the constitution to the 2010 census data, you will again get 62 districts as the written statement that I su--that is shown in the written statement that I submitted on September 22nd and of which I've just given Senator Nozzolio the one copy that I happen to have, that I happen to have with me. I should also point out that because that -- because that mem--memorandum is still on your website and will be found by anyone who wants to find out from the frequently asked questions page, which is supposed to inform the public that the number of senate districts should be, that means that you are now currently soliciting proposals from the public for Senate districts and as some--McEneny has said, you look forward to getting maps from

2.2

the public. You are submitting such proposals from the public specifically for 62 senate districts and no other number. If you were now to substitute another number, you would be pulling a bait and switch on the members of the public from whom you are soliciting these proposals.

SENATOR DILAN: Okay. Now is there any reason why that method of calculation could or should change?

MR. BREITBART: Well the only other interpretation that has been used by the leg-legislature and plans that have taken effect as a result of legislative action in the one person, one vote here, the, the legislative—the plans that were, that were used in the 60s were, were court imposed. But the only other interpretation that has been used by the legislature is the interpretation that was used in 1972 and upheld by the Court of Appeals in the, in the Snyder case. It happens that both of those interpretations and this is a coincidence resulting from the way particular populations

24

1

fell out in the 2010 census. It happened that both of those interpretations have applied to the 2010 census would yield the same number of districts, 62. So the question of which is a better interpretation need not be resolved for at least another, another ten years assuming that the constitution is not amended between, between now and then. And I should point out also that in each of these four decades, the interpretation that was used, one or the other, both of which were now produced 62 seats, were interpretations used by a republican Senate majority in deciding the Senate districts. So in urging you to, to adopt one or the other of these interpretations, both of which would pro--now produce the same results, I'm not urging upon, urging something upon you that is tainted with, with partisan considerations. In fact, Mr. Carvin's interpretation which was used in 2002 and which you are still presenting to the public as the correct interpretation could be regarded as a, as a bipartisan consensus because it happens to be exactly the same interpretation that was

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

advocated by the unsuccessful plaintiffs in the Snyder case, the Schwartz plaintiff's who were democrats.

The way in which and the circumstances in which Mr. Carvin's interpretation was substituted in 2002, is objectionable because the record as, as you will see from the exhibits that are attached to the document which I give--just gave you another copy shows that the decision was actually made for reasons that had nothing to do with faithfulness to the constitution and that Mr. Carvin's interpretations was provided afterwards as a rationale for doing something that had been decided for other entirely. Nevertheless, as an interpretation of the constitution. Considering it simply as law and ignoring the historical circumstances in which it was substituted in 2002, as an interpretation of the constitution, it is quite reasonable. And as I say was, was exactly the interpretation that was, what was proposed by the unsuccessful plaintiff's in the Snyder case. But you need not determine which of the two interpretations that

2.2

have been used is the better one because they would both now produce the same result 62 districts and therefore any other number of districts would be a departure from all constitutional precedent. And as to whether there, you know, has been a, you know, a court ruling saying that you can't do that, well I'm sure that would be tested if you were to, if you were to abandon every constitutional precedent to come up with another number because it seems to you convenient.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: So if I--

SENATOR DILAN: [Interposing] Thank you.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: --if--thank you,

Senator. If I may Mr. Breitbart, if I may try to sort through what you're saying and understand it which is very complex.

MR. BREITBART: It got me my pension.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: It, it, it, let me try to rephrase what you said so I can at least confirm my understanding of what you're saying as accurate. You're saying that the formula that is put forward, you do not have--it was put forward

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

that actually is on the LATFOR website, you're not disagreeing with that formula? But you're a-disagreeing with the interpretation of that formula?

Well I, I, I don't know MR. BREITBART: whether I'm disagreeing with anyone's interpretation that formula--Ms., Ms. Misir, I'm sorry she left, would, would do well to read Mr. Carvin's memo. I gathered from her testimony that she's not done so. Because it, it -- some of the errors that I believe she made would be very clear to her if she, if she were to read, read the memo. But, you know, the question of, of, of, of who was right in, in Snyder, whether, whether the formula that was used in '72, '82, and'92 which was upheld in Snyder is the better interpretation or whether the formula that was proposed by the plaintiff's in Snyder and then advocated in 2002 by Mr. Carvin and adopted by the legislature in 2002. The question of which should those formulas is the better formula is one which coincidentally need not be resolved for the current redistricting round because for the

	Page 9
1	DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT, 10-27-2011
2	first time, it happens that becausethat
3	applying either formula to the 2010 census data,
4	you would get 62 districts. So it's, it's a very
5	easy questions to, to resolve. You don't even
6	have to decide which of those formulas is the, is
7	the better interpretation of, of Article 3,
8	Section 4.
9	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: That your methodology
10	itself may be questioned and that I, I guess I'm,
11	I'm trying to indicate for my knowledge which
12	part and you're, you're saying that the formula
13	itself is something that we have noyou have no
14	quarrel with but it's
15	MR. BREITBART: [Interposing] The
16	interpretation yes.
17	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: It's the
18	interpretation that, that isyou have
19	interpreted a certain way.
20	MR. BREITBART: Well…
21	SENATOR NOZZOLIO: And that way may or
22	may not be an accurate interpretation?
23	MR. BREITBART: Now I, I think that
24	either the, the, the interpretation that was used

24

1

in '72, '82, '82 an '92 and upheld in Snyder, and the interpretation that was rejected by the Court in Snyder but substituted by the legislature in 2002 and, and explained in Mr. Carvin's memo, I think there, they're both reasonable. I, I objected--I would, I would say that it was improper to have substituted a different interpretation in 2002 simply because after the experience of '72, '82, and '92, the question, the proper interpretation should have been regarded as a settled matter. And it's quite clear from the history as revealed in the, the internal Senate majority memo, memoranda that became available in the discovery phase of the Rodriguez case in 2003, that the, the decision to change, adopt a different interpretation was made as, as part of--was made in order to facilitate the malapportioned of Senate districts between upstate and downstate, that had nothing to do with the desire to, to adhere to or show respect for the New York State Constitution. So I don't believe that, that Mr. Carvin's formula should have been substituted, not because it is an

1

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2 unreasonable interpretation, the constitution, but because it should already have been regarded 3 4 as a settled matter at that point. Nevertheless, 5 we don't have to resolve that question for 2012, because both interpretations as applied to the 6 7 2010 census data, would yield a senate of 62 And if you believe that the districts. 8 9 calculations in the table that I have given you and, and the table that in fact I, I gave to you 10 11 more than a month ago, if you believe those 12 calculations are false or that I quoted the, the, 13 the census data incorrectly, it is to be hoped 14 that, that you will find an occasion to explain 15 where my, my error is, if I have made an error, I 16 will, I will thank you for having called it to my 17 attention.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you very much.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: I appreciate your knowledge and your passion in this matter and look forward to hearing you testify at Plattsburg next week.

MR. BREITBART: I've, I've been at the other side of the lake. It's quite beautiful.

But I don't think I'll be able to make it.

2.2

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you. It was good to see you again.

MR. BREITBART: Thank you.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Could we have Assembly Man Edward P. Ra?

ASSEMBLYMAN EDWARD P. RA: Thank you Assemblymen. I just wanted to thank the cochairs Mr. McEneny and Senator Nozzolio for hearing my testimony today as well as my assembly colleague Mr. Oaks and Senator Dilan for your leadership in bringing this hearing to Nassau County today. I'm just going to read a brief statement that I have submitted to the committee.

A few things are more important in defining who we are than our identity, our sense of integrity, and knowing where we stand in a world that is increasingly chaotic. My grandfather often cautioned me to know who you are and be true to yourself. No matter what else happens, nobody can take away your identity and integrity. Well I've always attempted to live by those wise words that take on a greater residence

24

1

as I speak to you today. In government, territorial integrity can be just as important as personal integrity. In particular, the 25--21st assembly district which I am proud to serve, has a unique identity based on the common values, ideals, and priorities that my constituents At a recent hearing before this panel, my share. assembly colleague Michelle Shimmel [phonetic] cautioned this panel about the dangers of extend, extending Western Nassau assembly districts into Queens County. I have discussed this issue with Assemblywoman Shimmel on several occasions. is not a partisan issue but rather one of keeping communities together under common representation. Lovely bedroom communities like Elmont, South Floral Park, Franklin Square, Floral Park, Bellerose, Stewart Manner, Garden City South, and North Valley Stream, are all rich with a sense of This district is composed of people who pride. have moved to Nassau County, whether it be in this generation or the families in prior generations, to celebrate a suburban lifestyle and raise their families in hometowns that do

24

1

justice to my grandfather's words. A legislative committee should not strip away the identity and integrity of this assembly district and its residents. The assembly districts of Western Nassau deserve the same protections that communities of districts throughout the five boroughs are afforded. Namely, that our communities will not be cracked, absorbed, or otherwise disenfranchised without federal review. Sound and sensible redistricting practices demand that the voices of suburban voters not be diluted. I urge this panel to consider the character of our region given due sensitivity to our identity and respect for the integrity of our neighborhoods. I have been blessed to be part of a large and diverse family of residents who comprise the 21st assembly district. We are a district of proud Nassau residents who have embraced a common identity in our shared suburban experience. We are much more than just a political jurisdiction carved out on a map. fact, we are a community in every sense of the word and I want to conclude by saying that I

1 DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT, 10-27-2011 2 believe staying consistent with these 3 considerations and those expressed by my 4 colleague, Assembly Woman, Woman Shi--Shimmel is 5 certainly consistent with the statutorily charge of this committee, the New York State 6 7 constitutions charge of this committee, and federal law as it governs this committee. And I 8 9 thank you for hearing my testimony today. 10 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you. 11 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you. 12 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Assembly 13 member Phil Ramos? We'll take someone else and 14 when he comes back, if you'd advise me, I'll call 15 him up again. Ellen Auerbach. Elliott. I'm 16 sorry I misread that. Good morning. 17 MR. ELLIOT AUERBACH, MEMBER OF BOARD, CHAIR OF ELECTION COMMITTEE, NEW YORK CITY CIVIL 18 19 LIBERTIES UNION: Good morning. 20 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Good afternoon 21 now. 2.2 MR. AUERBACH: - - . name is Eliot Mγ 23 Auerbach. I'm a resident of Suffolk County. I

appeared before the LATFOR of ten years ago on

24

1

2.2

23

24

2 behalf of the Suffolk County Chapter of the New York Civil Liberties Union. 3 I'm currently a member of the Board of Directors of the New York 4 5 Civil Liberties Union, and chair of the committee on elections of that board. But I am not here to 6 7 make an official statement on behalf of the I'm here representing myself as a citizen 8 NYCLU. 9 We've heard people here earlier ask of New York. for what might have been, that is a commission 10 11 that does not exist at the present time. 12 personally would have preferred if the 13 constitutional amendment proposed by the Bar 14 Association of the City of New York had been 15 adopted. But that is not the case. And so what 16 we face now is a situation where redistricting is 17 to be done by the legislature, by a bill which then can be signed or vetoed by the governor. 18 19 And so since you, this Panel, are the input to 20 the legislature, I will address my concerns along 21 those, along those lines.

Historically, I'll just use ten years ago because that's what I'm familiar with. There are two matters that I'd like to address. One is

23

24

the fragmentation of minority communities in the two counties for purpose of senate apportionment. And the second issue is what I see as the underrepresentation in the assembly of the two counties taken as a whole. The latter one is I'll take care of that right away. 2000 census, the more appropriate number of assembly persons for the two counties would have It was given as 21. been 22. Now, this is part of an attempt to shift emphasis elsewhere in the If we look t New York State as a whole, state. there are essentially four regions that have some sort of regional cohesion. Long Island, the city, the northern suburbs, and the rest of the I think there is no justification for mal-apportionment in terms of numbers of the senators or assembly persons, there's no justification for that in under representing any one of these four interests. With respect to the Senate, because the numbers work out, nine senators is almost perfectly the number that Long Island should get and it has gotten it in the last apportionment and I assume it will in this

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

one. But with respect to the assembly, I would urge that the number be 22, not 21.

Now we came to the Senate. considering the two counties as a whole. So it's reasonable for me to appear here even though I'm a Suffolk resident. The minority communities that are predominantly along the central spine of the island, have consistently been split so that their influence in any one district is pretty Now there are people who might argue that this gives nine senators the reason to cover their interests. But that's not really the case. The most important function, as I see it, that the Senate provides for the suburban districts is protecting their school districts or their school funding. And if you take communities that are predominantly of low economic means, low means to produce--to pro--to provide for their school districts, and bury them among larger districts which have a different economic profile, that interest gets lost. So I would suggest that you look at the minority communities which have a certain cohesiveness, they may not meet some

2.2

federal test I think that goes under the names of the Jingle's Test but that's not a reason not to give them a cohesiveness representation.

So now we come to the questions of how you proceed here. There is a certain distrust of government mentioned in many areas. There are people who claim that New York State is dysfunctional. I don't think it is. I think it has rough times but it manages to get things done compared to California, we got a budget and California didn't for a long while. So I call upon this panel as the input to a legislature to produce an apportionment that will meet the test of fairness, that will meet the test of having an appearance of reasonable work.

Now since you do this by legis--by legislation, by a bill as required by the state constitution, a bill of necessity has to be presented to the governor for his signature or veto. Now there are people who feel that the governor's main objection to this whole business is process. It isn't the process that he wanted therefore he won't--doesn't like it. Now that

1

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2 may or may not be the motive at this point for 3 the governor's statements. But if you produce an 4 apportionment that is as bad as the 2002 5 apportionment, then on matters of substance, there are many people in the state and many 6 7 organizations with access to the governor's office who will urge him to veto it on substance. 8 9 So I urge you and the governor to jointly produce a bill which is fair and which can be signed by 10 the governor and which indicates that New York is 11 12 a functioning state. Thank you.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you very much. Members any, any questions?

MR. AUERBACH: Thank you.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: I think everyone here would like to see what the governor would come up with. It's not, it's not that easy but thank you very m much.

MR. AUERBACH: And I'm aware, I'm, I'm aware of that but what, what I would say is that if what you meaning the legislature rather than this panel, comes up with, has serious problems of substance, then there will be good reason for

be 22 as, as we go forward as opposed to 21.

MR. AUERBACH: Correct.

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

ASSEMBLY MEMBER OAKS: Similarly, you know, if you looked at the map of this, say you put it into four sections or if you put it into three, let's say with the city and--

> [Interposing] I'll allow MR. AUERBACH:

1	DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT, 10-27-2011
2	you to adjoin the northern suburbs to the eastern
3	suburbs. That's a detail.
4	ASSEMBLY MEMBER OAKS: But, but within
5	that, should those numbers, I, I know your focus
6	is on Long Island.
7	MR. AUERBACH: Yeah.
8	ASSEMBLY MEMBER OAKS: That's where we
9	are toddy but should those numbers
10	proportionately be similar, would it be your
11	suggestion then that the numbers it adjust up or
12	wherever
13	MR. AUERBACH: [Interposing] No the
14	assembly cannot be to adjust it up.
15	ASSEMBLY MEMBER OAKS: No, no, no I
16	don't, I don't mean in the total numbers.
17	MR. AUERBACH: Okay.
18	ASSEMBLY MEMBER OAKS: You, you've
19	mentioned obviously if you add one somewhere,
20	it's got to come from somewhere else but
21	MR. AUERBACH: [Interposing] Yes, I
22	mean, it's clear that when Long Island was
23	reduced from 22 in the, in the 1992 apportionment
24	to 21 in the 2002 apportionment without any

2.2

significant percentage change that, that one district went elsewhere.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER OAKS: Sure. And, and, and my only suggestion would be is if north of New York and, and upstate should those numbers come out in a similar mathematical way, would you agree that it would make sense to adjust that area of--

MR. AUERBACH: [Interposing] Well what I, what I would call for is if you had a, a nearly round number upstate, that number should be used. If you have a nearly round number on Long Island as you do, which is 22, that should be used. Maybe you need to run a district across the city of Westchester line. I don't, I don't know. I haven't looked at those details. But yes, I think they should, I think that those four regions as I stated should be dealt with appropriately.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER OAKS: Thank you.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: When you mentioned that with county line, are you recommending that county line should be crossed

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

if the math is more exact? Or if it's within tolerance, should the county line or city of New York for example be respected?

MR. AUERBACH: I think that the idea of respecting political jurisdictions such as counties is something that is a reasonable thing provided it does not violate equal protection. That's a matter of law and provided it doesn't violate my concept of fairness. Because, you know, the difference between 21 and 22 is less than 5%. So you meet the standard of Rodriguez versus Patocky, but just because you meet the standard doesn't mean you should do that. So I, I, I think--I don't know what the numbers are in the upstate area but I think that you see that there's an application there and I would say absolutely. Let's say that the upstate numbers come out to be 47, I don't know that it is, .2. Then 47 is fine. If it comes out 40, 46.6, then maybe you have to slop something over. But I would not count downward in the case of Suffolk and Nassau, in the apportionment of ten years ago, 20, I think the exact numbers was something

2.2

like 21.9 and another digit after the nine. And it went to 21. That's the issue I'm raising.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER OAKS: Thank you.

MR. AUERBACH: Thank you.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you very much.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER OAKS: Yeah.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Assembly member Phil Ramos please? Good afternoon.

ASSEMBLYMAN RAMOS: Good afternoon. I would also like to thank all the members of LATFOR for this opportunity to speak on behalf of my constituents. I represent the towns of Islip in Suffolk County and I'm happy to be here to present to you with a unique viewpoint when it comes to this important issue. I, I first want to say that I will not pass judgment on LATFOR or the process. Although I have my own opinions and I would rather reserve that until we see what, what is produced from this body here. And at that point, I think, you know, it would be the time to speak about whether the process at least from a personal standpoint, whether process works

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

or not. So the task at hand is to talk about what suggestions could come out of this body here that, that would be appropriate.

In 2002, I was elected to represent the Sixth District in, in New York State Assembly which encompasses a communities of Brentwood, Central Islip, Bay Shore, North Bay Shore, Islip, and Ilandeio [phonetic]. This district was created for the purpose of giving an underrepresented and underserved community a voice in interstate government. A large percentage of the constituents I represent come from minority backgrounds. And before the creation of this sixth district, this population, this population that had common interest, common heritage, was split amongst several assembly districts. Which had the affect of diluting their voice and disenfranchising our community. The creation of this new district gave them for the first time a strong and active voice in state government. Not only was it the right thing to do, but it is the law of the land. The Voting Rights Act of 1965 was passed partly in order to

24

1

prevent the disenfranchisement of minority groups through the political gerrymandering. As a result, minority communities have been given a voice in their government and have experienced tremendous results and improved quality of life in that district. Right now, Hispanic voters are the fastest growing segment of the US population. At the time of the 2000 census, the Hispanic share of Long Island's population had grown--was growing at 15.5%. Furthermore, in the 2010 census figures, that was released this spring, it counted 441,594 Hispanics on the island which now represents a growth rate of that went up from 15.5% to 56.2% jump since the year 2000. This year, as you all know, we will be drawing a new district lines. These dis--these districts should represent the ethnic and cultural diversity of New York's many communities and should continue to give minority groups a voice in their state government. Any new lines should reflect the growth of, of the minority communities on Long Island. Therefore, I would like to see similar and equitable representation

2.2

amongst the minority areas in Suffolk County as well as Nassau County.

As we have seen historically, those who do not wish to see minority districts tend to lump them all into one district and ignore other districts that might not have a majority minority, but would have a significant portion, say 30%, 25%. And those we traditionally see lines drawn right through them.

In my own district, in the town of
Brentwood, in the heart of the Latino Community,
when the Puerto Ricans march on in the Fifth
Avenue in the Puerto Rican Day parade, they have
one foot in one senate district and another foot
in another senate district. That's shameful.

I'm hoping that districts with more integrity
come out and more representatives.

Here in Nassau, given the growth of the minority population, there is a potential for at least two districts, one in the assembly and the second in the Senate that would give good representation to good minority groups. Given the demographics interests and the needs of the

24

1

many communities in South Nassau, it is easy to make a compelling case for areas such as Freeport, five towns to be included in one new assembly district. The new district lines should try to maintain the integrity of counties, towns, villages, as well as schools, fire and library districts. Perhaps the most significant example is the Village of Hempstead. It is also shameful, and perhaps unconstitutional, to keep dividing up the Village of Hempstead into two or more Senate districts. I urge members of this task force to pay special attention and consideration to this case. My district is an example of the successes of our redistricting process. Communities with common interests should be untied and represented by one elected official. Not divided and silenced. We have come too far in terms of extending a political voice to underrepresented and underserved minority communities in New York and across the, the country. And I urge my colleagues to preserve this measure of fairness and justice when considering the new district maps in this

1	DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT, 10-27-2011
2	upcoming legislative session. I thank you very
3	much for your time.
4	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you very
5	much. We appreciate your sitting through all
6	this 'cause I know we gave you the opportunity to
7	come ahead and I know you wanted to listen to
8	some more testimony first and I appreciate that.
9	Susan Lerner? Does this mean you're not coming
10	to Plattsburg?
11	MS. SUSAN LERNER, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR,
12	COMMON CAUSE NY: First I want to know who's
13	betting on me and who's betting against me.
14	You're registered though.
15	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: You'll
16	probably be there. I got my money on you Susan.
17	You'll be there.
18	MS. LERNER: Well the person who is
19	betting that Brooklyn that loves nature is going
20	to win.
21	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: All right.
22	MS. LERNER: So good afternoon. Good to
23	see you again.
24	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Yeah.

_ _

MS. LERNER: I'd like to refer to the maps that we attached to our testimony because I think again they graphically illustrate a lot of what people have been saying. I don't think we have a significantly different interpretation other than the interpretation you've heard from local folks and from the elected representatives but the maps hopefully will illustrate for you graphically what we've been talking about.

I'd like to start with the first one because Nassau is quite singular. There are 100.--1.3 million people packed into Nassau County, roughly 15 miles from east to west, 20 miles from north to south. And that makes Nassau County one of the densest suburbs not just in New York but in the entire country. So it has a lot of attributes of the urban areas yet it is demographically distinct as we've looked at it.

And when you look at the median income,

I think it illustrates what numerous people were
testifying that there is a demographic
distinction between the north and the south for
sure with the North Shore having a concentration

24

1

of higher income people if we were look at other occupation related demographics, we'd see the same thing on the map. The percentage of residents with college degrees again. A lot of people have been talking about the Hempstead When you look at median income, when you look at residents with college degrees, you're going to see a distinct demographic cluster that is the Hempstead area. Here we're looking at residents with college degrees, lower concentration of people with college degrees, lighter color, bingo, Hempstead. Percentage of foreign born residents. Again, you're going to see some very distinct demographic clusters, again it tends to cluster around Hempstead and the other communities that have been mentioned. So our map number five is an attempt to put together what we see as the demographically similar areas in the Hempstead area, Elmont, North Valley Stream, Valley Stream, down to Baldwin Harbor, and all the way up to New Castle. This to us really is what we've attempted to do is to bring together the areas which are

2.2

demographically similar and we suggest that this is a reasonable grouping even though it may not be the most compact district that you could draw if you were ignoring the demographic factors.

We've also tried to adhere to the boundaries of the existing villages and towns and that's why it's less compact than it would be if we drew it abstractly.

The next couple of maps, six, deals with the non-Hispanic black voting population, sevens the Hispanic voting population. Again, it illustrates the way in which that population is very significantly clustered in that area. Where we find a deviation, a difference is in the Asian voting age population and that's our map number eight. Because that population is an expansion from communities in Queens and what we're seeing is that population is expanding in the North Shore because that's the most reasonable street and public transportation expansion from the areas of concentration in Queens.

So there's been a lot of discussion about the current lines. We have them here. Our

2.2

map number nine is the current state senate districts and our map number ten is a graphic illustration of what many of the earlier testifiers talked about in terms of cracking of the black community by the current senate lines. So as you can see what people are talking about, it is frankly very graphic. Same thing true on our map number 11 when you look at the way in which the Latino of the Hispanic community is cracked by the current lines.

And we agree also with some of the earlier commentary that the assembly district lines in Long Island, also have some issues to them. The--while for instance assembly district 18 is appropriately clustered around the minority community, it has some very odd aspects to it where it just reaches out and picks up portions of adjoining towns and villages that don't seem to have much geographic logic. And we also believe that we agree with the earlier testifier that linking the Queens areas with the Nassau areas in a district that spans that particular county border is to us problematic, that is not a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

district where you have a lot of commonality of interest as the earlier person testified and we think that is not a district we'd like to see preserved in its current form.

I'm afraid that Long Island really is a It has been traditionally test case. gerrymandered by both parties. Gerrymandered by the assembly to cut down on what they anticipated would be a number of republican districts so the number of districts was reduced to 21 even though the population we believe then and now because Nassau and Suffolk has expanded in number would support 21 assembly districts. And then what has been referred to repeatedly is a classic racial gerrymander on the Senate side. So I think that I do have to agree with some of the earlier commentators that as someone who has said that LATFOR should complete the process simply because the clock has been run out. I think that there's a lot of pressure and a lot of scrutiny on the lines that you drawn in, in Long Island because it has traditionally been politically gerrymandered in a particularly overt way. And

2.2

an opportunity to show that even a legislatively dominated body can draw some fair non-politicized lines. Unfortunately I think the test case is going to be the lines you draw in Long Island. Thank you.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you. Senator?

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Susan, always great to see you.

MS. LERNER: Yeah.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Just a quick question. And first a thanks though. Again this information is very, very helpful, provides not only information but good focus. And thank you for your continuing involvement with this process. Does your organization have an opinion on the primary date?

MS. LERNER: We have taken a position on the primary date and we have—are supporting a June primary date because we're very concerned that with vacation and school schedules, that a traditionally low turnout date, a low turnout

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

election which is the primary election, would be negatively impacted for even lower turnout if the primary were August.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: That I certainly understand that objective. What we found and I'm not sure if you were in Buffalo. I think one of the few hearings you didn't attend was Buffalo.

MS. LERNER: Well I had help.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: But we heard--I know you were in Westchester and it did come out in Westchester. Two of the bigger counties of our state, outside the City of New York, and if it's a problem for them, it's certainly going to be a problem for the Nassau Suffolk as well as the City. And that's the coordination of getting all this done in time to have a June primary this year, this coming year. This coming cycle, 2012. It's not only the district lines that have to be created as you know of the assembly, the Senate and the Congress, it's then the polling place jurisdictions that must be commensurate with those and the coordination of that. In Buffalo, it was testified too by some of the Board of

2.2

Elections and county legal staff that it would be as much as a \$3,000,000 unfunded mandate just to put this together. And then to have it together in time for this year is a problem that we've seen and heard from various administrators across the state. And that's, that's sort of a perfect storm this year to change the primary date which may or may not happ--may or may not happen but to have it then if it's a early primary date, whenever the date is selected, that to have that date then have all the other information ready, I, I think that they just wondered what you're--if you had any sense of opinion on that particular part of it.

MS. LERNER: Yeah, I, I understand that it, it's challenging for the Boards of Election. I know that there are other boards who feel that they can indeed satisfy the time schedule. I've also heard feedback from Boards of Elections that having the date in August complicates the selection of locations because to the extent that they have relied on schools as a location, the school staff is generally still on site in June.

2.2

In August, it becomes more expensive and more complicated to reopen the schools when a lot of the janitorial staff is on vacation and the administrative staff is also not available. So there are a lot of different factors and in this situation, I don't know that there's a perfect solution. We came down on the side of June because we had heard from various Boards of Ed including the state board as they felt it was doable, we felt that it made it easier with a school situation as well as vacation situation and so we factored that in. But, but we understand it's a push for everybody.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you.

MS. LERNER: Thank you.

another concern with an August primary other than college kids away at jobs and people focusing on other things. In upstate New York, we were devastated by floods in the Adirondacks, the Catskills, and a number of other areas. And a lot of the schools are sort of limping along. They're, they're lucky to be open. The time that

1	DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT, 10-27-2011
2	you do capital expenses, capital repairs is
3	usually if you have the summer school right
4	after.
5	MS. LERNER: Yeah.
6	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: So in August,
7	that's when you're sanding down the gym floor and
8	repairing it. And a lot of heavy construction
9	has to go on in the summer rather than during the
10	school year. So that's another concern as well.
11	MS. LERNER: Another fact. And also,
12	you know, historically in the past, we have had
13	June primaries.
14	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Yes.
15	MS. LERNER: So it is less confusing to
16	an already confused electorate that finds it
17	difficult
18	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: [Interposing]
19	You and I may remember them but I think there's a
20	lot of the electorate that has no idea what it
21	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR LERNER: [Interposing]
22	Right.
23	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY:a June
24	primary is. We, we got rid of them in the

2 earlier mid-70s.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

MS. LERNER: Right.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: I would say one thing, just that I have you here, for you Boards of Elections out there, don't use software to do election districts. It has been a Software is based on the census disaster. blocks. We're not allowed to split a block. for an election district, you can. So if you have an enormous ravine that nobody can get across, it's going to be on the same block, from this street to this street. And normally, a Board of elections will go down the middle of the ravine and the houses on both sides of the street are together. But software won't accommodate that and we've had some very awkward situations with people running it through. It's like the people say you could do it all in an afternoon. You could but you wouldn't want to have to live with it for the next so many years.

MS. LERNER: Well, you know--

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: [Interposing]

24 Do the work.

1	DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT, 10-27-2011
2	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR LERNER:computers
3	can only take us so far.
4	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Yeah.
5	MS. LERNER: It's really the input of
6	people who know the patterns on the ground, the
7	geography and so forth. I mean, it'sit, when
8	we're drawing the maps or rural areas, we have to
9	look at the roads
10	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: [Interposing]
11	Yeah.
12	MS. LERNER:in order to make sure
13	that a district, that we're thinking of makes any
14	sense. Because the software doesn't tell us
15	where the mountains are.
16	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: And the
17	software can only
18	MS. LERNER: [Interposing] But when
19	there's only one little road.
20	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Yup.
21	MS. LERNER: That's connecting the north
22	and the south areas that we're thinking about
23	that we know that we're transcending a mountain
24	area, but there might be a lot of road connection

currently a district that crosses that.

24

with some very urbanized dense area of Queens

than we question whether that's the best

23

24

1	DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT, 10-27-2011
2	demographic match in terms of trying to put
3	together the right numbers.
4	ASSEMBLY MEMBER HEDGES: On a different
5	topic, I apologize, I had to step out of the room
6	for a moment, are you still planning to submit a
7	plan?
8	MS. LERNER: Yes. But it's taking us
9	ASSEMBLY MEMBER HEDGES: [Interposing]
10	When are we expecting to get it?
11	MS. LERNER: We're, we're expecting to
12	have it to you sometime around the $2^{ m nd}$ week of
13	November. It's taken us longer than we
14	anticipated. There's some things that we
15	realized we needed to go back and adjust for.
16	ASSEMBLY MEMBER HEDGES: Very good,
17	thank you.
18	MS. LERNER: Thanks.
19	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you very
20	much.
21	MS. LERNER: Thank you.
22	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Leo Fernandez?
23	Leo Fernandez? We will go back over the list
24	before we leave or give people a chance to

1	Page 13 DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT, 10-27-2011
2	testify in case they stepped out. Ernst Ulysse?
3	Were you here before at another hearing?
4	MR. ERNST ULYSSE: No.
5	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Oh okay.
6	There's a similar name I think up in Westchester.
7	MR. ULYSSE: Okay. Good afternoon. My
8	name is Ernst Ulysse and I reside in Elmont. I'm
9	here, you know, to tell you that I'm impressed so
10	far with the task force.
11	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Would, would
12	you move in closer to the mic?
13	MR. ULYSSE: I'm impressed so far
14	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: [Interposing]
15	We're, we're, we're recording this. We want to
16	make sure this goes out to everyone.
17	MR. ULYSSE: Okay. I'm impressed so far
18	with the task force that both parties so far has
19	been represented which I believe is a critical
20	compliment for fair redistricting. The LATFOR
21	committee and the governor legislation that picks
22	possible members of the commission as it's
23	appointees, four by the governor and one by the

Senate majority leader, and one by the Senate

24

24

1

minority leader, and one by the assembly speaker and one by the assemblyman or leader. In my opinion, six members are appointed by the democratic elected officials and only two members appointed by a republican elected official. These, those notes strike me as a very fair and also independent commission. As a matter of fact, it's, it's, it's--if you ask me, it is not, is not independent. And if it was the other, if it was the other way around, such as if, if we had a Republican governor, it, it will be unfair to the democrats in the same way. I also cannot imagine why either house of the legislature would give the governor so much power in the redistricting process which have never been the case on the New York State Law which clearly provides that this, this legislature would want redistricting. I'm here today, you know, I'm here today because I strongly believe if we follow that model, we will be spending a lot of time and also resources arguing about redistricting moving forward in the future whether it's next year or ten years from now.

2.2

With that, you know, I will say thank you for your time and wish the task force much luck in the implement task of redistricting.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you.

Did I pronounce your name correctly?

MR. ULYSSE: Yes.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you.

Carmen Julia Pinyero? Good afternoon.

MS. CARMEN JULIA PINYERO, TRUSTEE

VILLAGE OF FREEPORT: Good afternoon. Good

afternoon, Senators, assemblymen, and task force

members. Thank you for you, your service.

My name is Trustee Carmen Pinyero from the Incorporated Village of Freeport. My statement here today is to bring to light, when we look at the census data going as far as back as 1972 to the present, we see how communities of the same interests have been divided throughout decades. You now have the chance of making things right for these communities. Especially here on Long Island. I share with you the community which I represent, Freeport. My community is split into two senate seats, two

24

1

assembly seats, and the same goes for the town. At the school district level, it's divided into three as well as the county legislature. How can it be that communities of common needs and interests continue to be divided decades after Even though these communities black, decades? Latino, Asian American have continued to increase in the last decades. By dividing these groups of common interests, the Senate and the legislatures are diluting its voting power by continuing to divide their interests. The 2010 census shows that communities of Freeport, Baldwin, Roosevelt, Uniondale, Hempstead, West Hempstead, Lakeview, and Westbury which are currently divided into three Senate seats have increased collectively by combining Latino, African American, and Asian both. What percentage increase between 63% to 100% depending which town or village you see that--you take the numbers from. Today you have now the chance to keep these communities together and interests by creating or redesigning a new Senate district, a new assembly district which represents them. I conclude by stating to you

2.2

that the critical and most important questions before you is to make sure you act justly and wisely in deciding and making the redistricting lines which will impact the next generation of leaders and the well-being of our community. Thank you.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you very much. Walter Peruq [phonetic]. Beatrice Peruq.

I assume that's a relationship or a great coincidence. We'll call the names again later on. H. Scottie Coads. Would you just state your name again for the record?

MS. HAZEL SCOTTIE COADS, CHAIR, CIVIC ENGAGEMENT, NAACP NY CONFERENCE: Okay. It's Hazel Scottie Coads.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Coads. Thank you very much. I apologize for mispronouncing it.

MS. COADS: Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman and members of the LATFOR committee. My name again is Hazel Scottie Coads. I am the Civic Engagement Chair of the NAACP, New York State Conference. The National Association for the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Advancement of Colored People, NAACP, was founded in 1909 and is the oldest civil rights organization in the country. In its 102 years of existence, we have seen our share of disappointments, disenfranchisements, and yes downright blatant racism. Through all of it, we continue to stay in the struggle fighting, and supporting causes that will benefit all people.

Today, our fight is on behalf of all of the communities but especially for the minority communities in Nassau and Suffolk Counties. Nassau County has been deemed one of the most segregated counties in the United States. I am willing to bet we got that reputation because of how the districts have been drawn through the The lines were drawn with pockets of years. minority communities scattered across the county with no possibility of ever having minority representation from Nassau County. It is mind boggling thinking of how the redistricting, gerrymandering, re-apportionment process has disenfranchised so many minorities for so long. Since the beginning of these counties existence,

24

1

as well as throughout the State of New York, minorities have been left out of fair representation in government. Each of you were appointed to this distinguished legislative task force with a huge responsibility of drawing lines that hopefully will not disenfranchise minorities. We are here today for a very important purpose. To recommend to you how new legislative districts should be drawn for the next decade. The lines should revert--should reflect diverse communities with great inclusions of, of minorities. I'm not asking for more districts. Only the fair and correct numbers of districts. You should draw each Senate district to be as equal in population as possible. person, one vote requires fair representation for everyone. I ask that you draw the districts that maintain pop--population across the state and that follows where people live in their communities. When drawing the lines, please don't play politics. Respect the boundaries of where people live. You should not look into other areas to connect boundaries that would

reshape the political landscape.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Let me be more--make more points of The NAACP is quite disappointed that this legislature body does not have any African American representative as part of the LATFOR Each of you should be proud to serve Task Force. on the committee but should be equally concerned that of the 3.1 million African Americans in the State of New York, not one sit on the LATFOR I'm sure some of you possibly have committee. African American's living in your districts. The absence of African American's on the committee is a blatant disregard for the minority communities. Because of this, the importance of fairness and good government, and the decision you make regarding redistricting is a real concern for us. It has been four decades, 40 long years, since LATFOR came to Nassau County. Forgive me, I prefer to say 40 years because it resonates better when the people of Nassau County begin to take notice of how long it took us to have our voices heard, on how lines are to be drawn. makes African Americans and Latino realize how

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

disenfranchised and marginalized we have been for all these years. The NAACP commend you for holding the 12 hearing--I think I heard 14.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Yeah we added two.

Throughout the state. MS. COADS: But must add it was not nearly enough. Working citizens of these counties are not able to attend meetings held 10:00 in the morning. This too is being disenfranchised. Redistricting in New York slash Nassau County. The residents of Nassau County experience redistricting, gerrymandering at its worst this year, 2011, due to insults and total disregard for individual expressing the concern to have good government representation. We were ashamed and claim--to claim Nassau as home. Our ability to be a part of redistricting of the redistricting process was challenged in unbelievable ways in Nassau County--in the Nassau County legislature. The NAACP will not stand idly by and tolerate the mistreatment of minorities being disenfranchised nor accept the blatant disregard of elected officials who

trample on and ignore what the Voting Rights Act of 1965 represents. The most sacred and precious part of democracy is the right to vote and or the right to choose who's going to govern them. For 102 years, NAACP has recognized the power of the vote and has always valued and fought for the right to vote. Then came finally the passing of the Voting Rights Act of 1965 permi--prohibiting attempts to dilute African American's voting strength, authorizing federal officials to ensure fair voting practices. The African American communities experienced and witnessed lots of tragedies prior to 1965 and many since then. We have seen it all. And have been through a lot.

Disenfranchisement at the polls is not a tragedy that the NAACP will tolerate. On election day, attempts to weaken and dilute our voting strength, broken machines, and now mandated government photo ID's in 34 states in order to vote is rapidly overtaken minority communities. The US census report of the last 40 years as well as 2010 census report always indicating voting age population growth in Nassau

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

and Suffolk Counties. Although there was increase in voting age population every ten years, the minority community, communities always got sliced and diced to the interests of the political party in power. It is mind boggling that after each US census report, we always find ourselves in Court fighting the redistricting gerrymandering that happens in New York State. The cutting up of election districts downstate and the strengthening districts upstate must I thank you for listening. I also would stop. like to add that inasmuch as we are appreciate your coming to Nassau County after 40 years, it's your, your place of venue left a lot to be desired. It was the most difficult to find. Thank you.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Wait a minute. Hold on. You're not the only one.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Yeah, you're not the only one.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Obviously by those applause, I mean, it took me 45 minutes to find the building. Once I got on the grounds, I was

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

three miles--I stayed at a hotel three miles away from here. My GPS got sick trying to get here and that I agree that this is not the, the best And we've got a good--just so you know, we're going to do at least another dozen hearings or so across the state after we finish Plattsburg next week. I know you've attended a couple of We're going to be going through-them. Assemblyman McEneny and I are--haven't discussed the specifics of the schedule but we have to have another series of hearings and that we certainly appreciate the hospitality of the school here but at the same token it was the choice of the staff here, the task force on this particular venue. And I don't think we'll be returning here, to this venue, but we certainly will be on Long Island and throughout the state as well as the City of New York and look forward to your further testimony once plans are put forward.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Do you have a recommendation of a location for a second public hearing that's centrally located and easy to get to in Nassau?

1	Page 14 DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT, 10-27-2011
2	MS. COADS: We have Hofstra University
3	and quite frankly, I think the legislature, the
4	legislative building.
5	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Mm-hm.
6	MS. COADS: We have a beautiful
7	legislative building. Actually the Theodore
8	Roosevelt Building at 1550 Franklin Street in
9	Minneola.
10	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Well why, why
11	don't, why don't you think about it, it would be
12	helpful to us considering the weather turns a
13	little difficult as time goes on, if it's
14	something you could reach by train too.
15	MS. COADS: Yes.
16	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: That would
17	make life a lot easier.
18	MALE VOICE: How about Malloy College
19	MS. COADS: Malloy College is close also
20	but most people know where Hofstra is also.
21	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Right.
22	MS. COADS: Also, you know.
23	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Well we, we
24	MS. COADS: [Interposing] And if I

2.2

might, if I might add, I just want to thank that we have some people here, some seniors who wanted to observe you in action.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Mm-hm.

MS. COADS: And I, I want to say thanks to them for coming and they're in the audience.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you.

Thanks for coming. Now on the issue of diversity, my predecessor and several predecessors before were in fact African American. There are no African American republicans in either conference. And we have to have two elected, one assemblyman and one Senator would seem to me and I think they would agree that you have to get a republican African America elected so there's an option to appoint somebody. We are also criticized for not having woman here.

MS. COADS: You don't have any women.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Certainly affects 51% but there are reasons for that and the reasons are not a racial, who was available, who had expertise, et cetera. I created the first two black wards in the City of Albany in

1972. And have a great deal--

2.2

MS. COADS: [Interposing] And we had one African American on the commission 20 years ago. Is that correct?

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: I don't know. I didn't do it 20 years ago.

MS. COADS: Oh okay.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: We had one. Yeah.

MS. COADS: You had one last--yes, we had last year.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Now on the issue, when you come forward and represent a group, especially with prepared testimony, I'm assuming that the NAACP has had evening meetings, town meetings, seminars, when you're speaking for a group as prestigious as the NAACP, which I've been a member of for years. I think others up here have as well. We're assuming that for people who can't make it, this is usually an all day affair and I realize that people can't all attend it. There's transportation problems. I also realize there's people with child raising

1

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2 responsibilities that can't make the meetings at night. So a number of elected officials have 3 4 held those kinds of meetings discussing, 5 discussing redistricting, what it is, what the constraints are under the Voting Rights Act, 6 7 under the constitution, et cetera. And I'm assuming that when a group comes forward, that 8 9 those kinds of meetings have already been held. This is getting near the 11th hour. And that you 10 11 respect -- that you're representing not your personal opinion, but the opinion of a wi--a wide 12 13 group of people who are representative of the 14 African American other communities that are at 15 risk for proper representation. 16

MS. COADS: Mr. McEneny, let me just say, the NAACP has been on this road, redistricting road, since 2009 preparing its members--

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: [Interposing]
Yes.

MS. COADS: --for the census of 2010 and onto the redistricting of 2011. And we are proud that we're able to put the redistricting to the

20

21

2.2

23

24

forefront, one of the organizations, not all, but one of the organizations that did a fantastic job in making sure our people were aware, people in general, but our communities were aware of what was happening and what was going to happen in And you're right. We did meet and have 2011. meetings in the evenings. We had meetings various times at our conventions, be it state or, or national, we talked about redistricting. I also want to add that I too worked for government. And I know that in the Town of Hempstead, they used to have all meetings during the day. It was only when we had some more progressive elected officials to come forward and really fight the issue that we were able to get night meetings in the Town of Hempstead. It is just absolutely important to be able to at least have some of your meetings in the evenings. of them at 10:00 does not address the concerns of the--everybody, constituents hearing the And it's an important issue. You have concerns. a major, major important job to do. And as many people should hear it as possible. And that's

2.2

Carol Gordon [phonetic]. Carol Gordon. Give a little yell if you're coming down 'cause we can't see the audience beyond that. Andrew Hardwick [phonetic]. Joyce Stow [phonetic]. Eugene Bernett [phonetic]. Dennis Jones. Thank you. Good afternoon.

MR. DENNIS JONES, RETIRED NYPD

DETECTIVE: Good afternoon, yes sirs. And ma'am.

Well here we are again at--as a complainant on

the Federal case concerning the Nassau Republican

legislature redistricting plan, I felt obligated

to come and speak to you about some concerns that

should be on this table regarding the New York

State Senatorial redistricting and assembly

redistricting.

As this commission reviews testimony and information and, and attempt to do that which some of you may have thought was a simple task, I ask you to honestly consider our pleas. What I hope is not on your mind is any mental comments like let's redraw the lines of the Senate districts and in the process, we can silence the voting power of some of these larger black

24

1

communities. Oh and let's not forget the Hispanic vote. This will silence their emerging communities also. Well a similar plan didn't go well in the Nassau County legislature. people came out to show their displeasure. However today, what we are discussing here, is a much more serious nature and has remained a systemic form of voter disenfranchisement for a number of years. There are nine Senate seats from this area in Albany and not one seat has been designated a minority seat from Nassau or Suffolk. The 2010 census report indicated our voting age population has grown even larger, however minority representation in the Senate still escapes this densely minority populated Systemically public hearings on area. redistricting and reapportionment have not been held in Nassau County in four decades. How come? In this democracy--is this democracy or voter suppression? Can you imagine 40 years and no hearings in Nassau County? Nassau County where it matters, where laws were put in place to ensure that two minority legislature seats exist.

2.2

Someone said it's time we fight for fair representation in our state government and I agree. The Voting Rights Act of 1965 and the Civil Rights Act of 1964, has, has indeed helped us. However, when others in power choose to ignore its words and intentions, it becomes a lesson unlearned. If we must fight for fair representation in the New York State Senate, then let the fight begin. Enough is enough.

The Nassau County areas are unlike minority areas in Brooklyn and Queens where they have representation indicative of their map drawn districts. Here in Nassau County, the lines are drawn inadvertently or intentionally to disperse the minority vote in its entirety. No district is a clear representation of the greatest number of minority represented in Nassau. I hope that what transpires here results in more than hearing testimony for more that have testified before me and will testify after I do. I hope that it brings you members of the Commission pause. I hope, I hope that you recognize our cry for an opportunity to vote for true representation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Discrimination is a hell hound that gnaws at Negroes in every walking American—in every waking moment of their lives to remind them that the lie of their inferiority is accepted as truth in the society dominating them. And that was said by Martin Luther King, Junior. I want to thank you all.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: I'd like to ask just a--whatever the years have been, I, I assume you're happy that this task force has here taking testimony and listening and conducting the hear, the first of what--a hearing no matter how many years it's been, this year, this task force is conducting this hearing and it's been our goal to be as open and as transparent and as available in an unprecedented way. So I guess in a sort of a back door way, you pointed out how this task force is doing its job. You might not have liked what happened in the past but in the present, we're doing what you want us to do. And that's something that we appreciate you taking the time to be here today to discuss the issues.

MR. JONES: Yes, thank you. That is,

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

that is correct. I am, I am most appreciative of you coming out to Nassau and I, I'm so sorry that there is not a full house for you to hear and, and not more of the officials or the community leaders that need to be here to, to express to you what they've seen over the years. And what they would have expected you as this commission can do for them. So, you know, I could only speak for myself. I, I am involved in the Hempstead community and, and I see where the disenfranchisement exists. We fought very hard with the, the legislative just recently and I, like I said, I'm a member of a--as a complainant on a federal case that existed because of that. So I again wanted to just express my concern that and hope that maybe we can draw you back again to probably pick up some more of those that were not able to attend today.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: That's a great point.

And if I may make another point that certainly

we'd welcome your involvement in getting the word

out for people to take a look at some of your

testimony and others testimony today, that may

2.2

encourage additional thoughts of people in Nassau
County in particular, that although they might
not have been able to make this hearing or know
about this hearing, that nonetheless they
certainly can view your testimony and others of
today on the task force's website in the very
near future, encourage them to do so, encourage
them to email us with other information that may
be on their minds, and that thank you for being a
catalyst in that endeavor.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: These, these here-

MR. JONES [Interposing] You're welcome.

I, I am the second vice present for the Hempstead democratic club and we have a meeting tonight and I will definitely forward that information that they're accessible to send emails out to you and make their, their comments known. Thank you.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Let, let me assure you that the people who show up at these meetings which in some cases last for six hours straight, are only some of the input that we get. We also get indirect input where somebody comes

Page 155 1 DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT, 10-27-2011 2 in and speaks for an entire group where they've done their homework, they've had community 3 4 meetings over the months, if not the years, but 5 also there are maps being submitted and there are letters being submitted the old fashioned way and 6 7 electronically and all of that becomes part of the record and it influences the final drawing. 8 9 MR. JONES: Thank you for that. Thank 10 you for that so much. 11 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you very 12 much. 13 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you. 14 ASSEMBLY MEMBER HEDGES: Could I ask 15 that you simply state your name so that we get 16 it--MR. JONES: [Interposing] Oh I'm so 17 18 sorry. 19 ASSEMBLY MEMBER HEDGES: --in the 20 transcript and on the video? 21 MR. JONES: My name is--yeah, my name is 2.2 Dennis Jones.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER HEDGES:

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY:

Thank you.

Thank you.

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Karie Solonges [phonetic] Esquire. Karrie Solonges. Archie Spigner.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: He's coming.

HONORABLE ARCHIE SPIGNER: Hi.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Good afternoon sir.

HONORABLE SPIGNER: Good morning. Good afternoon. It is now. Good afternoon Co-Chairs, McEneny, McEneny and Nozzolio as well as Senator And Senator Dilan, Senator Dilan. Dilan excuse me, I forgot. I serve with Senator Dilan on the New York City Counsel for ten years and I'm glad that you're here making sure that this redistricting cycle is fair and considers communities we fought for together as elected officials. My name is Archie Spigner. I reside at 112-10 175 Street in Jamaica. I served as a member of the New York City Counsel for 27 years. And I'm currently a democrat district leader in the 29th Assembly District in Southeast Oueens. I'm also the executive member of the Guy Brewer United Democratic Club.

Every ten years we go through this

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

process which always yields a mixed bag of results. On the one hand, LATFOR makes certain adjustments that keep communities together such as reconfiguring Senate District 10 which used to travel from Williamsburg, Brooklyn to Southeast That matter was addressed in 2002 in the Oueens. 2002 redistricting. And I commend you for taking that district and making it more com--compact. However, a neighboring district, Senate District 14 was created in a way that violates principals of con--contiquity, a lesser closed course as a low tide on Jamaica Bay. I was around in 1982 when former Senate Majority Leader Warren Anderson misinterpreted the State Constitution and decided that redistricting did not have to happen that year. In effect, he did not want to face the demographic realities made clear in the This trepidation disrupted the 1980 census. democratic process for a full year. In effect it was a case of just delayed is justice denied. The landmark US Supreme Court redistricting case of Plateau versus Anderson corrected this injustice and set the stage for new black and

2.2

2 Latino Districts in various counties of New York.

Allow me to touch on the following three points for your consideration. First, prison based gerrymandering. It is great to know that one of the great injustices of the redistricting process was corrected when Governor Patterson signed the Prisoner Census Adjustment Act into law in 2010. Also known as Part 20, Chapter 57, the laws of 2010. I was either more pleased when President Barack Obama's justice department saw fit to pre-clear that just law, that seek to make, that seek to count prisoners at their homes of record and not some faraway prison community.

However, LATFOR not need delay full implementation of this law. I would hope that this law is fully implemented in advance of the first draft of maps. In effect, no di--redistricting maps should be drawn that does not do the proper addition and subtraction of the state's prison population.

Secondly, bi-county district. As I previously mentioned, LATFOR corrected an injustice with the guards to the old senatorial

24

1

District 10 which straddled North West Brooklyn and Southeast Queens. New York City and its suburbs are densely populated areas that should have little need for too many districts splitting county lines to achieve political goals or disenfranchised communities. A 2002 memo by Senate Republican Staffer Michael Carvin, made a reference to politically undesirable areas in Nassau County that, that inhabited by a sizeable black community. Under a scenario described in this memo, portions of Southeast Queens would have been jointed to the communities of Elmont, Lakeview, Hempstead, Roosevelt, and Baldwin. This was being considered in order to give several Nassau County Republican senators a political advantage by not representing a, by not having to represent a more diverse district. This ill conceived idea was put into the political garbage can in 2002 and I'm asking for the record that it not be recycled in 2011, 12. Do not dilute our influence as a community by adjoining Southeast Queens which all, which already has two very competent black senators

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

with Nassau County for purposes of providing political cover on Nassau republicans. The black community should not be used as a pawn in the redistricting process.

Please do not--don't do this to our community on the assembly state senate or the congressional level. The size of the senate population and the equality. The population Ten years ago, LATFOR had multiple equality. hearings in which the public was asked to submit their own plan for, considered plans for consideration. As it pertains to the Senate, we are all under the assumption that the size of the tenant would--we were all under the assumption that the size of the Senate would be 61 seats. At last minute, in ex--inexplicable political deals resulted in another senate district being For the record, I support the senate added. remaining at 62 seats. No deviation from this number should be considered that would violate the state constitutional formula which allows for 62 seats. Now I know that there are some that have called for an odd number of seats to prevent

2.2

a tie or gridlock. Lie--Lieutenant Governor was supposed to serve this purpose in the senate until the majority conference stripped him of some of this power in presiding over the senate. Furthermore in these times of fiscal austerity, we have folks who are losing their homes and being laid off, are laid off from work, adding to the state budget by creating more senate districts is inexcusable. We cannot have political calculation drive up the cost of running government.

With regards to the issue of population equality, Queens District in 2002 were drawn at 318,000 individuals while some districts in Upstate New York were drawn at 291,000, many of which included prisoners. This practices flies in the face of concept of one person, the concept of one person, one vote. The individual vote of an upstate voter carries much more weight than one in Queens. Why should voters in the districts of Senators Huntley or Smith or Generis [phonetic] or Stavisky or Avella or Divore

2.2

than an Upstate voter. Every district should have the same number of constituents.

In conclusion, I know that I've said a handful today but it is rooted in my years of observing redistricting and the impact good and bad that it has had on my community. LATFOR, please do the right thing. I will be back when you release those proposed plan to hope, hopefully praise LATFOR on putting on a plan that, that puts fairness above partisan politics. As Dr. Martin Luther—Martin Luther King said, "Injustice anywhere is a threat to justice everywhere." Let's show the country that New York knows how to do redistricting in an objective, fair, and compassionate way. Thank you.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you very much.

HONORABLE SPIGNER: Thank you very much.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: You were on
the counsel for 27 years in the City of New York?

HONORABLE SPIGNER: Yes. In the city,
in the city of New York.

1 DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT, 10-27-2011 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Who drew the 2 districts? 3 4 HONORABLE SPIGNER: Pardon me? 5 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Who drew the 6 districts the last time they were drawn? 7 HONORABLE SPIGNER: The counsel. No 8 the--it was a special committee that, that an 9 independent committee that was appointed. 10 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: 11 HONORABLE SPIGNER: Or a special 12 committee that was appointed. Prior to that 13 change in the law, the counsel drew its own 14 lines. 15 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Okay. Thank 16 you. 17 HONORABLE SPIGNER: You're welcome. 18 ASSEMBLY MEMBER HEDGES: Be, before you 19 leave, I understand that you've tried to submit 20 plans to the task force. We've gotten two emails 21 from you, neither of which have the plan 2.2 attached. I wondered if you could re-send your

HONORABLE SPIGNER: I, I'm sorry, I

email with the plans attached?

23

24

1	DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT, 10-27-2011
2	didn't hear you.
3	ASSEMBLY MEMBER HEDGES: My
4	understanding is that we've gotten two emails
5	from you that indicate in the email that there's
6	a plan attached for drawing district lines. But
7	there is no attachment.
8	HONORABLE SPIGNER: That, there's some,
9	some misunderstanding there which we have to
10	clarify. No I did not
11	ASSEMBLY MEMBER HEDGES: [Interposing]
12	You, you don't
13	HONORABLE SPIGNER: [Interposing] No.
14	ASSEMBLY MEMBER HEDGES: you have not
15	submitted it?
16	HONORABLE SPIGNER: I did not submit two
17	emails with any plans, no.
18	ASSEMBLY MEMBER HEDGES: Okay thank you.
19	HONORABLE SPIGNER: All right. Then
20	you're welcome.
21	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Will you
22	submitting any plans?
23	HONORABLE SPIGNER: And I make any
24	plans?

1	DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT, 10-27-2011
2	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Yeah.
3	HONORABLE SPIGNER: I'm, I'm doing more
4	watching to this to see that what is put out is
5	appropriate. But I'm, I'm working with some
6	people who
7	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: [Interposing]
8	Who are?
9	HONORABLE SPIGNER:who are doing work
10	and, and observing very closely what the lines
11	are. But we will await the outcome of whatever
12	you, you do and we will look at that and if it's
13	fair, we'll praise and support it. If not, we'll
14	tackle it and suggest changes.
15	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you.
16	HONORABLE SPIGNER: You're welcome.
17	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Leroy Gadsden.
18	It's on trip too. I didn't fall. Good afternoon
19	Mr. Gadsden.
20	PRESIDENT LEROY GADSDEN, NAACP-JAMAICA
21	BRANCH: Good afternoon. Good afternoon to the
22	Panel.
23	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Good
24	afternoon.

1

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Good afternoon.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

MR. GADSI

MR. GADSDEN: I am Leroy Gadsden,

President of the Jamaica branch of the NAACP. sit before you today with mixed emotions as I look at you and your willingness to serve in such a worthy and civil cause. I am offended as an American that this body with such an awesome legislative task of duties of the highest standard is the board of no African Americans and only one woman is seated amongst you. True that we have evolved beyond the 1950s. if we were to turn back the hands of time, 100 years from 1911, this is exactly how this panel would look. don't fault you for answering the call of serving this capacity. However, I question the manner of composing a segregated panel with the purpose of creating and making redistricting decisions in the just and fair interest of all of the citizens of New York State. This is a terrible indictment upon the great state of New York. This makes your job and responsibilities even more so important. How are we expected to have trust in

a system that discriminates against us in the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

creation and onset of this great task that lies before you? It is our hope that as you carry out your duties and responsibilities, that you will rise above it all and update the hands of time in the government of structure of this state.

I also join my predecessors in raising the issue of the location of this hearing. though we have talked about we have small evening meetings in our own particular groups and organization, however though when you put a hearing at a very distant location, without any means of public transportation, and by any decent mapping, that sends a solid message of certain people in the inner city that your opinion doesn't count, you do not have a right to observe or to participate. That's the message that's sent, that is the message that is received. hope we go forward that whoever make these decision will give careful consideration that all the people can get there but with public transpiration and as a way that people can go and they can be accommodated. Going back to your--

2.2

fully agree that I'm--if I may interrupt you. We fully agree. I don't know if you heard our discussions with other representatives from the NAACP. But we agree with you. How about helping us out, send us a list of places where you do think the hearing should be held.

MR. GADSDEN: Definitely we'll do that sir.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you.

MR. GADSDEN: And saying that, we thank you and give you our utmost respect for agreeing to serve in such a capacity. You have an awesome task before you.

As I said earlier and we agreed that the most cherished part of a democracy is a right to vote. And the right of the people to pick who is going to govern them. There is no greater right than that right to vote in democracy. Here in the NAACP, we view and cherish this concept of good government. But since our inception since 102 years ago, until the present minute, we have always recognized and invited the right to vote. America has always invited this voice of

24

1

government. In fact, this nation was found on the principal of no taxation without representation. However, when it comes to African American's and black folks, America has always had a double standard of what is good and beneficial to the rest of the country as opposed to what is good and beneficial for African American's. So while the calmness and eventually America was enjoying the fruits of the representative government, people of color were still living in a so called democracy with no right to vote. Although not restricted, such existence was usually found on the plantation. Prior to the Civil War, the South went to count black slaves for representation in Congress, but now them--but denied them representation or any right to participate in government. We have to be very careful. Very careful that here in New York State we don't duplicate the attitude of the south in our redistricting efforts. As the people, we built this country for free. We did a good job because she is still standing. fought on every war of conflict. Truly, we are

24

1

some of America's loyal citizens. If anyone has a right to participate in this democracy, it is the African American citizen. We are the only race where laws were created for the simple purpose of denying us the right to vote. Nor the race of people has been killed or destroyed or mayhem in the - - which we have in the pursuit of the right to vote. First, we couldn't vote. And then when it was legal to vote, we were denied the opportunity to vote. There were many rebels and hurdles across, killings and beatings, et cetera. A few of our white brothers, Adam Goodman, 21 years of age from Queens and New York's 25 year old Michael Schwarler, both conscientious young men who were killed in the pursuit of this right to vote for all men. I can present you with a volume of names of persons killed in the pursuit of this right. But I chose these two because they were local, they were sons In fact, one went to school right of New York. here in Queens. But they're conscientious level rose above their surroundings. They gave their life in pursuit of this thing. You have the

2.2

charge to make sure these brave men and women both black and white didn't die in vain. When you draw district lines to dilute and weaken minority voting strip, you allow them to die in vain. Because the right to vote is on the half of the answer. Full participation means the ability to cast a decided and meaningful vote or influence of who will represent you. We're not asking you to lay down your life. We're asking you to do the right thing. But what is the right thing? The right thing is to draw district lines that would not dilute the strip of the minority voters of this state.

In 1965, the United States Congress
passed the Voting Rights Act. In your actions,
we ask that you not trivialize the voting right
act. This act did not come by as a goodwill
gesture. But this act was the result of many
tears shedding the blood and even the loss of
profit and life. We paid a price for the Voting
Rights Act. Let us not forget the obstacle
placed before African Americans trying to vote.
Our ballot box like any other ballot box is

2 covered with blood of those both black and white of a greater conscience who laid down their life 3 4 just to ensure that we're not only have access to 5 the ballot box, but a meaningful access and involvement in deciding who's going to represent 6 7 us in government. But if you look back at key wording in this landmark voting rights 8 9 legislation, includes such words that prohibits attempts to dilute African American voting 10 11 strength. We remind you the need and the intent 12 of the 1965 Voting's Right Act was to protect and 13 ensure that constitution rights are those persons 14 in America who were traditionally discriminated 15 against the ballot box. The 1965 Voting Right 16 Act is still on the books and in full effect. 17 And the people whom those laws were designed to protect are still here and in need of that same 18 19 protection and guarantee of their right to vote. 20 We ask that any and all restriction decisions be 21 made, excuse me, redistricting decisions, be made 2.2 in compliance with the 1965 Voting Right Act.

1

23

24

In addition, we ask you to regard the fact that the New York State formula requires 62

senate seats. We strongly believe that any deviation or departure from this 62 senate seats would be a violation of that Voting Right Act.

We also believe that the creation of a new senate seat in Upstate New York by the commingling and conjoining of any district in Queens with Nassau County, will violate, will violate the 65 Voting Rights Act as well as the Equal Protection Clause of the 14th Amendment to the United States Constitution.

We also remind you that according to the laws of New York State, all prisoners must be counted in the city of home of their jurisdiction. The redistricting lines must be in compliance with this new population shift from Upstate to downstate. We put this body on notice that we will view any redistricting attempt in this state to cut up election district that weakens a heavily populated downstate minority vote and strengthen the less populated predominantly while Upstate voting district as a violation of the Equal Protection Clause and the 14th Amendment as well as the Voting Right Act of

2.2

'65. We challenge you to remain true to the intent of both the constitution, the Voting Right Act, and the 15th Amendment of the constitution.

And then we ask you to do the following:

One, we ask that you draw legislative district

that will not dilute the ability of minority

group voters to elect the representatives of

their choice or to influence the electoral

outcomes.

Two, we ask that you make an redistricting election decision based on the New York State Constitution, Article 3, Section 4, which requires Senate districting on the basis of the growth of certain county population. Three, we ask you to count and design every district with the same population ratio with no more than a 2% deviation, and four, the redistricting and legislative district we ask you to refrain from race and gerrymandering where as you like count the less populated upstate predominantly white district in Upper New York State while undercounting the heavily populated minority district in downstate New York. We are looking

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

very close at that Fourth Amendment and that Equal protection clause. Because if we look at the Upstate, excuse me, if we look at the downstate currently, the 29 downstate districts contains 75% of the black population, 80% of the Asian population, and 81% of the Hispanic population of New York State. These over populated district only contain 47.88% of the state population, yet they constitute it on a 46.77% of the 62 Senate district. Honorable Mr. Spigner raised the issue earlier that a man upstate and a woman upstate have more electoral power than and woman downstate just by like the one man, one vote and thus violating the equal protection clause of the 14th Amendment to the United State Constitution. I thank you for the time to render this testimony.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Members?
Thank you very much.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: I, I, I have a question. I agree with a lot of what you say but I guess what I tend to in a sense you sort of taken the parts of the constitution you like and

23

24

1

discount the parts of the constitution that you don't like. And that that -- one constitution, that's all. You have to have adherence to the constitution of the State of New York and the Constitution of the United States. You can't pick and choose and say well this part I like, this part I don't like. And I point to one particular aspect of the constitution that you said a deviation should be 2%. The Constitution of State of New York and it says that you cannot That deviation of 2% is virtually split towns. impossible to reach, is, is impossible to reach with that type of constitution provision. So I, I guess I'm, I'm saying to you, I certainly respect what you're saying, I respect your advocacy on behalf of encouraging everyone to vote, the protection of that right, of a right that you so adequately indicate has been hard fought by blacks, by women, by others who have been discriminated against in this nation for, for a number of years. That certainly should never be tolerated. But at the same token, there are constitutional provisions that protect

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

regions of the state, protect the integrity of certain subdivisions of the state, and that you just can't say ones good and one's not good.

You've got to look at them all and, and indicate that we want to adhere to every constitutional principal, not just those that are the ones that we like the most.

MR. GADSDEN: Yes, sir. And respectfully as we may respond is that however though we look at the federal constitution that I think the state constitution has to be in compliance with and not violate that in, individual rights, guaranteed by the federal constitution, I think the 2% is possible if we can be creative enough to create those districts. We go beyond 2% will not destroy us but when we get up to 9% and 10%, then that would, that would definitely create a new form of, let's say however in New York State where it would be in violation of that 14th Amendment 'cause I don't think we can take the state and say the state has an attempt to do the right thing when in the meantime we're going to violate the New York

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

State constitution in doing the right thing. I think we have to pick a choice and be an attorney.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: That's a--are you an attorney?

MR. GADSDEN: What sir?

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Are you an attorney?

MR. GADSDEN: I don't practice sir.

Well I guess the, the SENATOR NOZZOLIO: assumption that many courts have held that numbers well beyond 2% are within constitutional dictates and the Supreme Court dictate of one person, one vote. The rationale is important and there has to be reason. And that certainly one of the reasons that New York is based is to ensure that those areas that -- and we've heard testimony from a number of individuals that have said it's part of the worst thing that's ever happened is when a town was split and that we wouldn't have the similar type of representation and you're not talking about a town where one representative may be hundreds of miles away from that town as a result of the split. And the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

rationale basis of the constitution stayed in New York. And I think that not only ask you to say that your points are well taken but not those—we're not going to—certainly not going to advocate for the destruction of constitutionally protected principals that also are in place for the integrity of the voting public. So and the citizens of the state.

Well yes sir. MR. GADSDEN: And we believe that -- we think this panel can be creative enough to console the districts and we redesigned those districts because we would have a problem if we look at the district into today and if a person upstate has almost one and a half time more power in an electing a representative as a person downstate, we think that is going to be so definitely skewed and so far away from the intent of the constitution, that we do not -- we, we don't believe that say when we're in compliance with the state constitution, it's got to be satisfactory. Like you say, if you've got 2% to maybe 5% on deviation, perhaps that could be possible. And we're talking about 8% to 10%,

2

1

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

that would definitely put a cit--a, a citizen of upstate New York in a higher class position than a citizen of downstate New York, therefore I don't know if we can get away from that fact of the one man, one vote, if that's our intent. we go a district up, even respecting city lines, et cetera, when we get away from the one man, one vote, I think we're travelling all over that 14th Amendment and that's what will protect us.

And yes sir, I do agree, we have to pick the counselors we like. But our history in American has shown that US Constitution has been our bread and butter. They have saved us, they have given us power that the states are not one to give us and so we have to stay down to the -- we have to hide on the banner of the United States Constitution and we hope that this panel will just keep that in mind when making a decision that we citizen, we need the protection, we want to make sure that we're in compliance with that 14th Amendment, that one man, that one vote.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: And I assure you every member of this task force is dedicated to 1 DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT, 10-27-2011 2 ensuring that whatever product is produced, it complies with the letter and spirit of both the 3 federal constitution and the constitution of the 4 5 State of New York. Thank you sir. 6 MR. GADSDEN:

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you.

MR. GADSDEN: Thank you.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you. Rachel Krinsky. Yup. Good afternoon.

PRESIDENT RACHEL KRINSKY, THE LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS, NASSAU COUNTY: Good afternoon. First, I would like to thank the embers of LATFOR for holding these, for holding these hearings. They are much appreciated. I'm sure you see Even though I too object to the location, but I understand you will try to have a more centrally located place next time.

My name is Rachel Krinsky and I'm here as President of the League of Women Voters of Nassau County. The League is a non-partisan organization which encourages informed and active involvement in government and influences public policy through education and advocacy. We are

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24

1

also members of a statewide coalition to reshape New York which is dedicated to reforming New York's redistricting process and which consists of 35 organizations including civic groups, issue advocacy groups, unions, and business organizations. Though we consider today's hearing a good vehicle for citizens to comment on the redistricting process, we believe that New Yorker's have already made their position quite clear regarding the drawing of district lines. The voters of New York State want an independent commission rather than LATFOR to draw state legislative and congressional district boundaries according to fair and objective criteria while allowing for public input into the process. independent commission drawing impartial district lines would still allow for the legislature to give input on the plan and ultimately pass legislation in accordance with the state constitution. Voters across all parties believe an independent body should draw the lines. The governor proposed legislation to form an independent commission. 61 of the 62 senators

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

and 123 of the 150 assembly members either signed onto his legislation or legislation proposed in their respective houses, or signed pledges and questionnaires from good government groups in support of reforming the redistricting process.

An important element of reforming the di--redistricting process is keeping the differences in the allowable population between legislative district smaller to prevent favoring one region over another. The current redistricting process has historically protected incumbents. It has even carved incumbents competitor's homes out of districts and significantly discouraged competition. Voter participation in New York State is distressingly People tend not to vote if they face no low. real choice in candidates. Meaningful discussion of public policy has taken a backseat to years of partisan rhetoric and late budgets because partisan interests have been honored before It is time for legislators to honor interests. their words and keep their commitments. should return to Albany during a special

23

24

1

legislative session to end partisan gerrymandering and enact redistricting reform by creating an independent commission to draw impartial legislative and congressional lines. The state legislature should be a model of good government for local governments to emulate. Here in Nassau County, there was an attempt to push through new county legislative lines for the 2011 election. With minimal public input and totally partisan planning. Fortunately the Courts have stopped this travesty for now. The League of Women Voters of Nassau County strongly advocated for an independent non-partisan commission to draw our county legislative lines and will continue to do so when the lines for 2013 are being determined. We urge the legislature to institute an independent commission now as so many senators and assembly members led us to believe we would be done when they were running for office. New Yorkers cannot wait another ten years for reform. Thank you very much.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you.

2 Robert Smith? Good afternoon.

2.2

PROFESSOR ROBERT SMITH, SCHOOL OF PUBLIC AFFAIRS, BARUCH COLLEGE-CUNY: Good afternoon.

Thank you for the opportunity to appear before the task force. My name is Robert Smith and I am a professor at Baruch College in the graduate center of CUNY. And I'm drawing on an expert affidavit that I wrote for the case of Boone v.

Nassau in making this statement today.

My statement will focus on three points.

One is a history of segregation and equality on

Long Island. Two is the notion of blacks and

Latino's constituting having a community of

interests and the third are the implications of

this for the drawing of districts that the Voting

Rights Act and fair districting principals

require the recognition of communities of

interest in drawing districts that enable

minorities to elect representatives of their

choice.

The first point is that segregation and equality have been built into the development of Long Island primarily in the post second World

23

24

War period and has become institutionalized to the point where that inequality does not require people to be individually racist in their day to day life. The inequalities get reproduced systematically and structurally. A prime example of this is the development of housing. Segregation Long Island is the, is the third most segregated suburban place in the entire country and the roots of this are quite clear. example, Levittown required that the houses could only be only sold to members of the Caucasian race when they were originally built. requirement lasted through the 60s. Levittown is still 94% white. Urban renewal in the 50s and 40s and 50s pushed blacks out of incorporated into unincorporated areas. Today real estate agents routinely steer black and Latino clients to black and Latino areas and away from white areas and steer white clients to white areas. 73% of the race based complaints by African Americans to the New York State Housing Authorities were against real estate agents. Now in addition to this segregation, there's also

2.2

23

24

1

notoriously ineffective enforcement of these laws against discrimination. In the New York State Division of Human Rights, the central office, took between five and ten years to reach a decision on most cases, even though the law requires it be done within 465 days. regional offices were much faster. They took from one to four years. In this case, many of the complainants had moved away or died before their complaints were adjudicated. In addition to the lack of infest--ineffective investigation, there has also been--there's also no punitive damages so the realtors begin to look at this steering. If they get fined, it's just a cost of doing business. The point in terms of redistricting is that if blacks and Latinos are divided politically it would be harder for them to elect representatives of their choice who will advocate for their interests which are very different here than, than white Long Islanders.

There's a second history of division and equality. And that is in the school districts.

Long Island has 125 school districts. Normally

There are

This

DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT, 10-27-2011

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

in the United States, the average is 15 school districts per county. This--more school districts is systematically tied to greater levels of segregation and inequality. more than 1,000 separate governmental units in Long Island's 1,199 square miles. One of the results of this is that this segregation -- the education is funded by property taxes. Segregation has reduced the property values in places where blacks and Latinos live so that spending in primarily white higher income districts is 26,000 per student while lower income districts spend 18,000 per student. is a very glaring inequality. The Roosevelt School district is 99.9% black and there were four white students in the entire school district in the year 2000. The half of black and Latino children on Long Island live and go to school in districts that are more than 95% black and This is a very high level of Latino. In addition to these high levels of segregation. segregation and inequality, blacks and Latinos

form a community of interest on Long Island which

23

24

1

I define as when groups of common interests confront similar problems and relate similarly to structures of inequality and power. There are several ways that these communities of interests are expressed. One of them is they have common social locations. The statistic on half of the students of, of color going to schools that are 95% black and Latino is one. Another is that blacks and Latinos on Long Island are three times more likely to live in poverty than whites. are also much more high--affected by the foreclosure crisis. A second thing is that blacks and Latinos on Long Island believe themselves to be in the same boat in terms of opportunity. 60% of African Americans and, and 40% of Latino's reported having had significant experiences of discrimination, 39% of African Americans and 21% reported direct housing discrimination. White's by the way in these, in these surveys also believed that housing discrimination happens.

Another example, and I know that we're supposed to keep our, ourselves limited here is

2.2

that blacks and Latinos on Long Island are much more likely to depend on public transportation.

83% of whites versus 59% of Hispanics and 65% of blacks drove their own vehicle to work. Latinos and blacks are six times and seven times more likely than whites to use public transportation system to get around in New York. Black and Latino leaders that I have interviewed have talked about the fact that they are material in the same, in the same boat and legislature Robert Troyano, an LD2, said to me that blacks and Latinos if he had to succinctly describe their conditions of life on Long Island it would be separate and unequal from whites.

The point of this analysis and I've gone as quickly as I could to keep into the five minute limit, is that in the drawing of legislative districts, the Voting Rights Act and fair districting principals in case law require that communities, communities of interest be considered and respected. There's been ample testimony today that blacks and Latinos have not been—that, that community of interest has not

2.2

been grouped together and that that—those communities of interest have grown significantly over the last ten years. I commend the commission for looking, the task force for looking at these issues and I encourage you to take advantage of the opportunity before you to, to draw districts that in fact do take note of these very significant communities of interest between blacks and Latinos. Thank you.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you. An earlier person testified, gave maps, common cause, gave a wonderful rendition of divisions based on income and education which seemed to indicated that in Nassau County on the Southern Shore, it tended to be poor less educated, having more needs associated with, with poverty and discrimination. There were no Asians in that map. But north of a line in between, the out migration of Asians from upper Queens seemed to be going to the North Shore. Do you think that the Asian population on Long Island identified more with the proposed minority districts of primarily blacks and Hispanics or do you think

1	DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT, 10-27-2011
2	they identify more with overwhelmingly white
3	districts?
4	MS. KRINSKY: When you say Asians, you
5	mean south Asians, Indians, [crosstalk] or?
6	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Everybody
7	udder that category. Just as with the African
8	Americans we include Caribbean's and Africans as
9	well.
10	MS. KRINSKY: Are you referring to
11	Elmont and areas like that?
12	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Yes.
13	MS. KRINSKY: I'm not sure I can make an
14	informed comment on that, on the Asian
15	population. But I would say, I have noticed when
16	I and, and the research that I've done, that
17	there is a higher income level for example
18	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: [Interposing]
19	Mm-hm.
20	MS. KRINSKY:among blacks and Latinos
21	moving into those north areas into Elmont and
22	places like that. However, even when you don't
23	'cause the, the story that I just related very
24	quickly is one of, you know, income levels,

2.2

educational opportunities, et, et cetera between whites versus Latinos and blacks being very, very different. In Elmont, the income level of blacks and Latinos is actually higher than of whites.

However, you do not get in those school districts integration. You get almost perfect segregation.

Elmont Memorial High School has 90 plus percent black students and Sewanhaka High school has 90 plus percent white students and they're in the same school district right?

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Mm-hm.

MS. KRINSKY: And you get the same rhetoric in the local newspaper. It's--we have a Western border with Queens. We're being invaded. They're ruining the schools. Instead of an extrication story, these guys are coming in and driving housing prices up. The story that's being told about these blacks and Latinos with 20,000 higher income per year is they're ruining the school system. So that race really does make a, a, a tremendous difference. There's actually research that's been done that you can map housing prices based more on school districts

But

DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT, 10-27-2011 1 2 than on anything else. So if you have a house-and this is not rocket science to most of us. 3 4 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: I'm, I'm from 5 It's the exact same thing. Albany. But you have houses in the 6 MS. KRINSKY: 7 same neighborhood. Houses--same housing stock. This side of the streets one school district and 8 9 this side of the streets the other. You get a massive different in price because one is 10 11 perceived to be in a black district and the others in a white district. 12 So--13 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: [Interposing] 14 We have that with urban and rule, rural as well. 15 MS. KRINSKY: Yeah. 16 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: One is 17 considered to be more suburban. It's preferred for, for housing versus across the road where 18 19 it's in a, in a rural district. 20 MS. KRINSKY: So in answer--your 21 question, I did the professor thing which is that 2.2 you asked me a certain question but I didn't have a lot of information on and then I answered a 23

question I did have a lot of information on.

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

the--I do think that in a place like Elmont that there, there is a non-white--there's a white, non-white divide. And I think for example Caribbean's who moved in there, many of whom have also South Asian ancestry--

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: [Interposing]
Mm-hm.

PRESIDENT KRINSKY: --identify, in that case, identify with blacks and Latinos. And, and the voting, for example, in school board elections in Elmont is very polarized. Schools with mainly white students always vote for the white candidates. Schools with mainly black and Latino candidates vote for those candidates. So and even in the place where you have nearly--you have the ideal conditions for sort of a very positive kind of integration, you still have this racial division and you have a rhetoric and a public narrative that those guys are ruining the schools by coming in. And I think that's very important when you think about the idea of a community of interest, that even people that are making a lot of money and, and really should--if

2.2

race didn't matter, no one would remark on this right? They would be saying my housing prices are going up because those guys are making more money. That's not the story. The story is we're being invaded from Queens.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Yeah, racism is never based on logic. So that's--thank you very much. We appreciate your testimony.

MS. KRINSKY: Thank you very much.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you. That we have been joined and I apologize because I think it's been for awhile by a couple of our colleagues in the legislature. It's difficult to see out there but I understand that Senator Ruth Hassell-Thompson is here. Senator Thompson, thank you very much Ruth to--for your participation. You've been to a number of hearings we do appreciate your involvement. Also, Assemblyman Joe Salidino. Joe, thank--Saleman, thank you very much for being here to--if you're--are we in your district or close, close by in the general vicinity. Well thank you for your participation.

2 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you.

James McDonald. James McDonald.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

MR. JAMES J. MCDONALD: Do you envy
Solomon when he only had the baby to worry about?

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: What was that?

MR. MCDONALD: Do you envy Solomon? All he had to do was slice the baby in half.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: No matter what happens with any redistricting, someone is always unhappy and there's almost always some kind of lawsuit somewhere.

MR. MCDONALD: That's well I'm a little hard of hearing.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Yeah.

MR. MCDONALD: My wife screaming at me over the years. So if you'll bear with me. My name is Jim McDonald. I represent nobody but myself. The views I express are my own. And while I may deviate a little bit from the purpose of the hearing, I think in some sense it's all related. I thank the Committee for the opportunity to speak on this issue. I support the concept that all legislative districts in New

23

24

York State should be drawn to contain equal populations aiming for a deviation from the mathematical average of no more than 1% or 2% at Districts should be compact and most. contiguous, the ideal being a circle where every point on the perimeter is approximately the same distance, distance from the geographic center. Districts should not be gerrymandered to include or exclude specific populations or voting blocs as to, as is so often the practice now. Geography and political boundaries should be respected as much as possible. Many of the current legislative districts in New York State were drawn to enhance the fortunes of a political party or an incumbent or to strengthen or weaken one group of voters at the expense of other voters within the same district. That practice is undemocratic and should end. Geography makes communities of interest. Congressional and legislative lines should be drawn to serve the community's needs and not the legislators. The practice in New York State, as in most other states, if for each legislative house to draw its

2.2

own lines and predictably the majority party seeks to maintain this majority by creating districts to satisfy its own needs. I don't know if there will ever be a better way to tackle this problem but the legislature should consider surrendering this task to an imperial impartial—sorry, impartial commission if such a group can ever be created. I doubt it. As far as congressional districts are concerned, the same rules should apply. Compact and contiguous while respecting geography and political boundaries as much as possible.

My understanding is that ten years ago the congressional delegation from Nassau and Suffolk Counties worked out a deal among themselves to swap blocks of voters across different communities to solidify, to solidify their hold on their own districts. And that the state legislature ratified the arrangement. If true, arrangements like this only serve to deepen the cynicism and distain that so many Americans now feel towards politicians and the political process. I think legislative candidates should

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

also be allowed to run and serve in contiguous districts close to where they live. A line on a map should not prevent voters in a given district from making the decision as to who should represent them. I say this because if you're a candidate for Congress in New York State, you can run anywhere in the state of New York. But very often district lines have been drawn where you might say that two candidates who live across the street from each other are now suddenly put either in the same district or in opposite districts. And this in a sense is a, a selective form of gerrymandering. We've seen some really exotic districts drawn over the years to accomplish exactly that task.

Rather than quibble over the size of the state senate, 61 versus 62 districts, I would like to eliminate the senate altogether and replace it with a 211 member legislature. 150 plus 61. A single legislative body of this size would bring the state government closer to that public, to the people of the state in smaller districts and perhaps even allow more voters to

24

1

actually meet their legislatures. I would like to see the legislative term of office increased to four years. So that the governor and the members of the legislature are all up for election at the same time giving the people of New York State an opportunity to affect a change in their government if they so desire. A single legislative chamber would be more productive, would be an effective counter weight against the executive if necessary, and would allow the public to easily determine which party should be awarded or punished for their policies. also give legislators more time to govern and make some of the necessary hard decisions. Ι would like to see the state fund all legislative campaigns so that the citizens in each district get the opportunity to hear from all of the candidates in that district. At the present time, the incumbent is usually the only one the voters ever hear from. Primarily because the challenger or challenges lack the financial means to mount even a minimum campaign. The large amounts of private money donated to political

21

2.2

23

24

1

campaigns taints the process and increases voters cynicism. In 2008, a presidential election year, approximately 72% of registered voters in New York State turned out to vote. Of the 62 state senate districts, 11 were completely uncontested and three others had only minority party opposition. Many of the senate races were lopsided or fair as with the incumbent facing token opposition while enjoying all of the The assembly races were no advantages. Money, or the lack of it, is the root different. of the problem. With so many citizens expressing dissatisfaction with government, while more than 95% of incumbents are re-elected year after year, something must be wrong with the process.

I would also like to discuss one other issue in regards to improving voter participation in the elections. There are only a handful of states that allow a candidate to appear on more than one party line and we are one of them. The Wilson Pikula Law in New York State has perverted the political process by allowing party leaders to trade their parties endorsement for jobs,

2.2

23

24

1

judges, and a whole host of political favors. has gotten to the point in Suffolk County where whole segments of the population have been routinely disenfranchised because party leaders have made deals to quarantee the winners in a political contest before the ballots are even To cite just a few examples. In 2010, printed. the county clerk and the controller received all four lines and each received 100% of the vote. In 2009, the district attorney, the sheriff, and the county treasurer were on all four lines and each received 100% of the vote. In 2007, the county executive in his first bid for reelection received all five lines but only received 96% of the vote because two minor party candidates went to great trouble to get on the ballot in a courageous but futile attempt to provide opposition. These are only some of the contests where the voters have been rendered superfluous. This law is a terrible law and should be repealed as soon as possible.

Finally, I would like to see all judges in New York State appointed rather than elected.

2.2

The voters should not have to wade through a forest of judicial candidates to find and vote for the policy makers. A ballot without judges would focus voter's attentions on those who actually make the laws. Besides, most voters have no idea who these judicial candidates are.

I recognize that this committee is specifically tasked with gathering input on redistricting but even in the fairest set of lines were drawn to satisfy every voter in New York State, it would not necessarily make our elections any fairer because of these and other issues which only the state legislature can successfully address. I urge you to do so. Thank you for your time.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Mr. McDonald,
I appreciate a lot of the things that you said
there. In fact, most of them. And if we ever
did have a constitutional convention, I wouldn't
mind having you sitting there asking those
questions. A few things that you bring up. A
question of residency which is anyplace in the
state for Congress and don't be on the wrong side

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

of the street or virtually everything else. In an election year, you can run pretty much anywhere in a redi--excuse me, a redistricting year. The problem is, you could wind up selling your house if you wanted to continue for the--

MR. MCDONALD: [Interposing] Assuming you could do so in this market.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Yes. Exactly. Exactly. I, I'd like to see that softened within a distance or in an enjoining district. The four year term would make a lot of sense, particularly running the same time as the governor. Single legislative chamber, the number of bad legislation which gets rescued and changed by the time it makes it to the other house and then comes out as good legislation, more than justifies splitting it up. It also means that if you have more than one representative, there's always somebody there that has to be responsible to you where if you've only got one and you ran against him and he can't stand you, you're not going to get the same legislation. I'd like smaller districts because special interests money

1	DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT, 10-27-2011
2	can be over common. The smaller the district,
3	the better it is to know your own people, your
4	own media. I'm very worried having congressional
5	districts going for about \$650,000 up to
6	\$718,000. When you, when you get into Senator
7	Nozzolio's part of, part of the state, this means
8	many, many, many counties, different media
9	markets which forces you to buy advertisement
10	which means you've got to turn to special
11	interests for funding. And I certainly agree
12	with the campaign
13	MR. MCDONALD: [Interposing] I'd like
14	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: [Interposing]
15	finance.
16	MR. MCDONALD:to make an observation.
17	I ran six times for, I'm sorry, three times for
18	the state senate. 1968, 1972 against Owen
19	Johnson by the way who
20	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: [Interposing]
21	Yup.
22	MR. MCDONALD:we know each other well.
23	ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Sure.
24	MR. MCDONALD: And again in 2006 against

24

1

Owen Johnson. We're both aging warriors and but there--the reason I ran in 2006 was because there was an arrangement made between the political leaders in Suffolk County that if the democrats didn't put a candidate up against the republican state senator, the republicans wouldn't put a candidate up against the democratic assemblyman. And what this did was effectual, effectively disenfranchised three-quarters of the entire town of Babylon and so for 2000, 2002, 2004 and 2006, there was absolutely no choice. The leaders had selected our representatives in the legislature. So I decided along with some friends of mine that maybe we should give them a contest. And this happens a lot. All over the state. recognize that for an incumbent who spent 20 or 30 years of legislature, it's probably a harrowing experience to be confronted with let's say a pick and shovel job or being out on the street as a result of the voters suddenly going mad and choosing somebody else. You see but after awhile, this is what happens in a democracy or at least an alleged democracy.

2.2

23

24

The argument against having two houses is basically this. When the constitution was originally drafted, the idea was initially a single house. It was only the states arguing that what about us, Delaware primarily and I believe Rhode Island. But at that time, Delaware was only one-tenth the size of Virginia. Montana with 550,000 people right now is one-sixtieth or one-seventieth the size of California. that whole argument no longer holds water. one of the problems we have in the entire country is the fact that this vestige of poor thinking, 230 some odd years ago, has now locked us into a situation with those who have the power refused to surrender it. And even the way in which we've constructed a three-quarter majority, by the way, the original constitutional convention debated whether it was a simple majority in Congress, a unanimous vote by every single member of congress, a unanimous vote by the various states, and they actually kicked around a variety of different ways to amend the constitution. So we have the same thing. I believe the 1894

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Constitution of New York State said no two counties separated by a river which by the way was the East River, that you'll ever have a majority in this state assembly. And that was an effort by the upstate people to keep, keep power. But it's, it's undemocratic. And what I'm suggesting here is this. We should have a constitution convention. The only way we're ever going to have it is somebody's got to ask for it and start that. I believe it was Kennedy who once said the longest journey begins with the single step. Somebody has to make the proposal to make these changes because basically if we don't do this, all we're basically doing is spinning our wheels and ten years from now, when I'm probably pushing up daisies, somebody else will be up here asking the same questions. So we should really try to deal with this.

You know, I, I, I wish you gentlemen well. I realize you have a very tough task trying to satisfy everybody. But I guess the argument that I would use is that you should always put yourself in the other quy's shoes.

1

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2 What about the guy who doesn't have the power, 3 what about the guy who isn't represented, you 4 know, how do I take care of him? Right now, 5 public financing, if we were to spend a million dollars in 211 assembly districts without a 6 7 Senate, and divvy that money up in such a way that whoever ran in that particular district 8 9 which would have about 92,000 people in it, that million dollars would guarantee that every single 10 voice would be heard. As at the moment, that's a 11 12 hell of a lot cheaper than what we have I believe 13 member items roughly run about \$285,000,00 a 14 year. Right? 15

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: They've been gone for two years.

MR. MCDONALD: Well I haven't--

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: [Interposing]
No member items left.

MR. MCDONALD: I haven't, I haven't run in two years so I didn't know that. But that was, that was a, a considerable amount of money. And \$50,000,000 a year which would be roughly what it would account to run every four years, is

2 miniscule in the state budget. But what it would 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16

1

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

do for politics in New York State, it would be-we would lead the nation on this. And we haven't really done this. And at the present time, every candidate who runs in order to get his message out requires money and no matter how you coat it, it almost looks like legalized extortion. that you ever promise anybody anything in return for their contribution but there are very few of us who doubt that those who sit around a table at \$10,000 a head or \$20,000 a head or \$50,000 a head, do so because they're interested in civic virtue, you see. So I would like to see all of these issues addressed including redistricting. Thank you very much.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you very Cecil Lawrence [phonetic]. much. Cecil Lawrence. Reverend Charles Norris. Yeah, yeah he came up before. Good afternoon sir.

REVEREND CHARLES NORRIS, PASTOR EMERITUS, BETHESDA BAPTIST CHURCH, RELIGIOUS LEADER, SOUTHEAST QUEENS, CLERGY UNITED FOR COMMUNITY EMPOWERMENT (CUCE): Good after--good

2.2

afternoon. My name is Bishop Charles L. Norris, Senior. And I served as the Pastor of Bethesda Missionary Baptist Church of Jamaica Queens for 37 years. I also serve as the Executive Secretary for Clergy United for Community Empowerment which was originally known as Southeast Queens Clergy for Community Empowerment. We came together in 1984 to try to elect Jessie Jackson as the President of the United States. And we tried it again in '88 and didn't succeed. I think we finally made it in 2008 when we were able to elect Barack Obama.

But what does a Baptist preacher have to say about redistricting and the demographic changes in communities? First off, the Bible makes reference to the census when it describes how Joseph and Mary had to travel from Galilee to Bethlehem to be counted in the census. It was a practice of the Roman government to have individuals travel to their native land to be counted in the census. Bethlehem was the ancestral land of Joseph who was the descendant of King David. So even back then, there was an

2.2

awareness that counting individuals and counting them in the right place had a purpose. Joseph knew that he had to be counted in his home of record. Thank God for that was, that was done 2000 years ago. Our government decided to count everyone in their homes of record. This is why there is no reason why this task force should delay in assigning the state prisoners to their homes of record.

As someone has ministered to the dozens of formally incarcerated young men, I must say that it is a travesty of justice to argue that an Upstate Senator or assembly member adequately cares and re--represents prisoners in their district. I was saddened to hear that some republican senators are challenging this law in the court. So let's stop the waffling and reassign these--those prisoners back to their homes in of record. If this legislation can count billions of dollars in the budget of this strict time, timeline, and can count votes every two years during the election, you can certainly reassign 58,000 prisoners back to their homes of

2 record for redistricting purposes.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

The Voting Rights Act has done a great deal in increasing representation in our community. Some have said that since we now have the black president, it may not be relevant. That's hogwash. Laws are in place and I--and as a check against the worst instinct of societies. If Cain had been, had been his, his brother's keeper and not murdered Able, perhaps we would not--never or not ever have the need for the laws against murder. But history has shown that-shown us that the law helps keep the scales of justice balanced. I believe that the Voting Rights Act should always protect African Americans, Latinos, Asians, and Native Americans. And in the election and in redistricting process. If there are some in this body that may think that race should not be a determinative factor in redistricting here in Nassau County for more legislative representative, excuse me, when--well let us look at the geography. There are enough blacks and Latinos right here in Nassau County for a legislature, for a legislative

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

representation. This can be done without having to reach into Queens for more folks of color. Tt. can be done by drawing compact districts. communities such as Hempstead, Lakeview, Uniondale, Baldwin, Freeport, Westbury, Elmont, there are sizeable communities. These are sizeable communities of color. To elect another assembly member and another senator, it can be done to serve a political purpose. So if you don't want to look at race, well look at the village town or the school district, the boundaries, and you will see that oftentimes, people live around others that they share their history, culture, and values. But please don't decide or rather divide us up for political ends.

We ask all the senators serving on this task force. I am talking about your district for the Bible says there is nothing hidden that will not be disclosed and nothing concealed that will not be known or brought to the, to the open.

These four districts, these four districts exist the way they do because black and Latino communities were divided. Thank God for

2.2

transparency in government and hearings such as these. Do the right thing, not just because God is watching but because we people are watching as well.

Let me, let me, let me just also be sure that this task force does everything possible to ensure that every district has the same population across, across the state. According to the prisoners that should be expeditiously be assigned to their homes of record. Every district should have the same population, no excuse. No delay. Because as we said earlier, justice may have said earlier, justice delayed is justice denied.

Let me thank you for the time that I have looked forward to testifying again before this task force and release, under release its purpose, its proposed district maps. Let me just say from the memory that I have of the last time Nassau County and Queens were together, they had a senator by the name of Karen Burstine. And if I remember correctly, I can't remember too many member items coming across my desk in Queens as I

2.2

would imagine came across the desk of those people who lived in Hewlett, Woodmere, Cedars, Lawrence, and we must include Inwood. But what I'm saying is that I would hope that we can count the people who are in prison in their homes where they came from. And also, keep the district so that we will have a senator in Nassau and a senator in Queens. We should not combine those two counties together. Thank you very much and we trust that you will do the right thing.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Reverend, thank you very much for your testimony. Before you go, I just wish you to know that the task force is not dragging its feet on the issue you addressed which is the counting issue of prisoners from their place of incarceration to a place of last known address.

MR. NORRIS: Uh-huh.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Just please understand though that this is a monumental task. It's not simply a, a--the subtraction and addition is a very cumbersome and difficult process. Without any regulations in the statute.

Each member of

DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT, 10-27-2011

2 It's the first time this is happening. statute did not have any regulatory guidance as 3 to direct the task force to move forward. 4 5 task force has moved forward even without that regulatory direction. And we're coming on 6 7 establishing in effect an entire process that normally the United States Census Bureau 8 9 undertakes. So we're--we've rolled up our sleeves a number of months ago. 10 11 the task force, on both sides of the aisle, 12 including our citizen representatives have been 13 very involved in that process and that there is 14 no foot dragging. It's a task that required a 15 lot of work and that the members who have worked 16 on it should be congratulated as opposed to 17 indicating that they're dragging their feet because no one is dragging their feet. 18

1

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

MR. NORRIS: Right. I hope they, I'm sure they will con--they will work at it and continue to work at it until this is concluded to the best interest of the people of the State of New York and especially where those--well I don't want to call them convicts but where those person

who are incarcerated live. Because it's very important that they're counted in their homes of origin.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you Reverend.

MR. NORRIS: Thank you very much.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you

Reverend. Barbara Scott.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

MS. BARBARA SCOTT: Good afternoon.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Good afternoon.

MS. SCOTT: Ladies and gentlemen, I represent the FFI Alpha Senior Center of Jamaica Queens and due to the restrictions of time, I would just like to add to what Reverend Norris has said. We are clear that the prison industrial complex, as it stands now with the counting of inmates, brings money upstate. I don't know if that's true now but brings jobs. that's the information that I have. If I've been misinformed, I beg your pardon. But we deal with the prison industrial complex as well as other complexes that have been set up against us here in America. So that just to piggyback on what he says, I don't know if there's a dragging of feet.

1 DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT, 10-27-2011 2 But we know that when dollars are involved, 3 there, there's a lot that goes on. With that, 4 I'd like to say good afternoon. 5 ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you. Thank you very much. Oh Senator Dilan, I'm 6 7 sorry. The issue--I don't know SENATOR DILAN: 8 9 if the mic is on here. Oh. The issue with the prisoner law only impact for redistricting 10 11 That means that everything goes well, purposes. 12 they will be recorded at their last address of That goes through, everything goes well 13 record. 14 as the law indicates. Whatever funding happens, 15 it rightfully belongs where the person is 16 currently located because that's where they were 17 receiving services. MS. SCOTT: And how can we change that? 18 19 Well it still would--SENATOR DILAN:

that, I mean, just to do it for the purposes of redistricting--

20

21

2.2

23

24

[Interposing] I understand. MS. SCOTT: SENATOR DILAN: --to be sufficient, but if you have a person in a physical location, the

2 services should follow that person.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

MS. SCOTT: I understand. Then I've been misinformed. I beg your pardon. Good afternoon.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: Thank you. I'll go through the list one more time. And if there's anyone here who would like to testify after I've gone through this list, by all means come forward. These were names that we had on the list. Leo Fernandez, Walter and Beatrice Perug, Audrey Phillips, Wilton Robinson, Carol Gordon, Andrew Hardwick, Joyce Stow, Eugene Bernett, Kerie Solonges, and I think did we have Cecil Lawrence? Is there any--are any of those individuals here at this time? And is there anyone else who would like to testify and go on record? We believe a number of these people will follow-up in writing with whatever their feelings were on this important issue.

That being the case, this, this portion of our hearing is closed. We thank you. We thank the hospitality of, of Old Westbury Campus

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

for having us. And just for logistics, I think Senator you were suggesting that we have a brief business informational meeting.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: For the record we have one more hearing in the first round. That again being next, I believe, it's Wednesday the $2^{\rm nd}$ of November in Plattsburg. And thank you assemblymen for conducting the meeting today.

SENATOR DILAN: I recall a conversation that we had last the last time we met and I thought that we were going to have a business meeting today because we have one member of this panel who was not available at that time. And it was indicated to me that he would be available today and we would be dealing with the issue of the prisoner count or, or what was going on with I think there was a question also as to a that. memorandum from Senator Nozzolio that we wanted That was, I believe, given to address. assurances that we would do that today. However, if you're not ready today, I can't make you do it today. But I would expect that at the Plattsburg meeting, that we would be ready with those

2.2

issues. Also, I would also request that at the Platt, at the Plattsburg meeting, that we could also perhaps be ready with proposed dates for our second round of hearings. That way, I mean, if we're going into the holiday season and, and pretty soon, you know, January is going to creep up and we're going to have session, so I think that we seriously need to take a look at that right now and have those dates so everyone can schedule accordingly. And I believe there was one—oh.

And finally, with respect to the draft plans themselves, if we can have an idea of when plans are going to be released, and I think that at one point I may have sent a letter, I believe, also that there should be public drafting, drafting sessions and I don't believe I've gotten a response of that letter. How are we working on these plans and can other members of this panel be available when work is actually being performed on drafting these lines?

ASSEMBLY MEMBER HEDGES: With respect to the first item that you brought up, I was not

DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH AND REAPPORTIONMENT, 10-27-2011 1 2 available the last time around. And, and--3 SENATOR DILAN: [Interposing] But today-4 5 ASSEMBLY MEMBER HEDGES: No, no, no. Ι understand. But I, I believe that the staffs 6 7 have been talking and I think that those staff conversations are getting close to a point where 8 9 we can get a positive conclusion and I would like to give the staff a little bit more time to fi--10 11 finish that. So if we could have that discussion 12 next week, I think that would be more productive 13 for all of us. 14 SENATOR DILAN: Okay. I did not mention 15 any name of who was or wasn't here last time. 16 But I'm glad you came forward. But with the 17 issue of staff talking, I remember that we passed a resolution on this panel that when those 18 19 sessions of staff talking, that all staff be 20 included and I don't know but--21 SENATOR NOZZOLIO: [Interposing] I, I 2.2 need to explain. 23 SENATOR DILAN: I don't know that my staff was informed that staff is talking so I 24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

believe that I have a right to question that as we're moving forward. When we passed that resolution that all staff majority, minority staff should all be informed as to when there are discussions or working session. So that means that we're not following the resolutions that we have passed and since I don't know this, I have a right to ask this question.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Senator, you certainly do have the right. And let me try to--I, I think I should have spoken before Roman because Roman was away for awhile, that we have been analyzing the data. The Task Force has analyzed the data that was reviewed. And that I think--I don't want to speak for Mr. Hedges, but I believe that the staff's analysis is what I have been talking about with staff. Now that to be is a preliminary discussion based on preliminary review of the data that was put together by the task forces sort of sub-committee that Roman seized the day and, and pulled together. And the technical staff of LATFOR is reviewing as we have all discussed we wanted them

23

24

to do, including yourself, Senator, that we would have the technical staff review what was being And I think that may be what Roman is referring to. What I would like to see occur at our next meeting is a delineation of where we are with that issue, a prisoner counting, and that, that your suggestion is well taken. I think that should be certainly discussed at our meeting next week and hopefully there will be an opportunity to resolve any outstanding issues on that score between now and next week. And that the task force will be able to make a presentation to us in terms of where the technical staff of the task force will be able to make a presentation to us as to where they are with that information. issue of a calendar, I agree that we should get a calendar together as soon as possible. Sort of the problem we have, and I'd like your input, is the calendar of the political calendar. calendar, what happens if we do have a June primary, that really does put us under the gun for the hearing schedule. And then I would hate to agree to a hearing schedule that was rendered

2.2

inoperative by an early primary. Not to say that we shouldn't begin this process as soon as possible but we'd are, are flying blind here in the sense we do not know what the determination is for the primary. And if that primary becomes very early, then we really have an expedited schedule. We may all be spending the month of December together and then I certainly want to know what Senator Dilan wants for Christmas if I'm going to be with him because we're certainly going to be together during those weeks if there is an early primary.

So I, I don't know if anyone has anything to shed on, on that light on that issue but I, I would welcome the opportunity to start a schedule to review. And, and with that becomes the determination of a drafts of plans. Because we all agree that you can't take out hearings unless you—and the second rounds of hearings should be hearings that have the outlines or the specifics rather of the, the suggested plans.

SENATOR DILAN: Yeah I, I bring these issues now so hopefully we can be prepared for

2.2

the Plattsburg meeting and, and so we can take
the next couple of days to see what we can put
together and where we can work together on these
issues. I, I would love to work on an expedited
or accelerated type schedule on the assumption
that we have an early primary date. And whatever
the case may be, that way the public can see
exactly what we're doing. I also ask the
question about how are we drafting these plans,
when are we having these working sessions, and as
Mr. Chairman, you indicated that we did agree
that staff should be involved but I do want to
make it very clear that as staff is talking,
they're not talking to my staff.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER HEDGES: Let, let me be-

SENATOR DILAN: [Interposing] And, and that--

ASSEMBLY MEMBER HEDGES: [Interposing]
No, no let, let me be clear because it was
something I said.

SENATOR DILAN: No stop, stop, stop.

I'm not asking you directly. I'm asking the

2 group that--

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

ASSEMBLY MEMBER HEDGES: [Interposing]
No, no, no, no.

SENATOR DILAN: --we work together to do this. I'll make--I'll finish my comment very quickly and, and I do this in a positive sense not challenging anyone. I, I'm just looking for us to work together. So we need to deal with the issue of the draft plans, the working sessions. When the plans come out, we need to talk about the dates, we need to fi--conclude. We need to come to a conclusion really on--with respect to the prisoner count and start to implementing it. We've been talking about this since day one. did pass a resolution on, on this panel that we were going to allow the members of the state assembly really to take the lead and do almost all of the work on this issue. I remember that we passed a resolution doing that. We can always go back to the record and, and check it. And then at the same time, we've been talking about criteria. We've been talking about transparency. We've been talking about letting the public know

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

the size of the senate. And how could we even release draft plans if we don't conclude the numbers with respect to the prisoner count. And if the public's going to submit plans, they have to have these answers the size of the senate and the numbers that apply to the district. And, and I'm--I do this all in a positive and cooperative spirit.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER HEDGES: I, I certainly didn't hear it as anything other than that. just wanted to clarify something because I was the one that said something. What's happened in the last three weeks, four weeks, is really individuals calling each other with questions and trying to make sure we understood what it was that largely we said. I think that there was a lot of confusion about that. And, and a lot of confusion about what it is that we had done and how had we done it. And so we've really just been asking--answering questions. It hasn't been It hasn't been discussion in that, negotiation. in that sort of a broader sense of the word. Ι think that that broader discussion has to happen.

2.2

23

24

But up till now for the last several weeks, what we've been doing is when somebody had a question, we've been trying to make sure we gave a clear And that took quite a bit of back and I'm not sure whether or not your staff forth. was talking to people that work with me but largely what it was as far as I know and as I said, I was away for a portion of that period, was people calling folks that work with me and asking how did you do this, what is it that this number means. We talked in broad policy terms only about a couple of issues and we have not made any effort to resolve any of those issues. An example being what geo-coding software was And what was the underlying data that were embedded in that geo-coding software. An example being we had available to us a commercial product that doesn't base its work, its underlying data on the Tiger data file. That's a major policy call. That's something that everyone should be involved in. The fact question was what software did you use, what was the data that underlies that software? And we've been going back and

24

1

forth on that. I know the most recently ideation of that conversation took place yesterday and it was this kind of a technical detail. Something we were not aware of that the software that all of us use has the same ability to handle the geocoding task in a what's the technical folks would call a batch mode, we've been doing that interactively, we didn't have the technical knowledge that would have allowed us to do exactly what the joint staff had asked us questions about until yesterday. I got an email as we were arriving today saying yup, we--now that we know how to do that, we can run it too. I'll get you the answer as to how it differs from what we've got and we should convey that. a conversation that I think was largely technical. I don't think there was a policy call And I think that policy call at all. conversation now, that we've got most of the technical issues from the joint staff asking us hundreds of questions, I think we can actually have that conversation productively. I don't think yesterday we could have.

2.2

SENATOR DILAN: Okay. I appreciate your comments and I just look forward to us having some answers at the next meeting.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER HEDGES: I think that's great.

SENATOR DILAN: And hopefully bring a finality to some of these issue so we can start with the second round. Thank you.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Any other comments members of task force?

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: I'm a little uncomfortable with coming out with a schedule for this series of public hearings. While we said they were all state wide in their focus, realistically, because they weren't as controversial or as specific, most of them like the hearing here today, of the 20 people who testified, I think 20 of them were concentrating on regional issues. I think there may be an obligation to have everything including the Congress before we schedule because in theory at least, I'm sure legally, anyone can show up at any hearing. It can be on Plattsburg and they

2.2

may be concerned with what happened to Staten

Island or Buffalo. And I think it wouldn't have
hurt for the first wave to have regional ones and
then schedule the other ones later on. But I, I

think the nature of it is, unless we have all the
maps because what goes on in the western part of
the state literally affects what is possible by
the time you get to Montague. Then unless all
those maps are there, we shouldn't start the
hearings until we have a complete product. And
the product will be a first draft. A lot of it
will stay, I'm sure. Some of it I'm also sure
will be amended. And I think people need to see
the entire, entire picture.

SENATOR DILAN: I just have a quick question there. For those of you have, who have been here in the past, I have not, have we always had the entire package senator assembly and congress or have we done the assembly senate and then proceeded to congress?

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: The--it's been the other way around. The latter. It's been Assembly, Senate, and Congress. But this year,

2.2

we are faced with an extraordinary issue of having that if we have a primary as early as pr-- as some have suggested, it could be difficult.

SENATOR DILAN: So we should be moving at a, at an accelerated rate?

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Yes.

SENATOR DILAN: All right.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Yes.

SENATOR DILAN: Thank you.

Dilan, I consider you--I respect you as a colleague and I, I care for you as a friend. I think that we--you raised some excellent points.

I, I do want to, to, to just reiterate that we did ask the task force technical staff to review what the assembly had put together. And I know you were part of those discussions. So this wasn't something to be done as one side I was very technical and I think it's, it's a responsibility we had because our technical people are, are full time staff, they're non-partisan in nature, they're very technically competent. And that's really I think what the

2.2

discussions were that I could see happening about. Or I could hear about. So with that, let's look to pursue some difficult work next week. Hopefully we can get some of it, if not all of it, bitten off, and concluded.

SENATOR DILAN: I just want to say that I do have some staff members that are also technical that have worked on this for many years.

SENATOR NOZZOLIO: Thank you very much.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER MCENENY: And I would also urge 'cause we're still broadcasting these people that say it's easy to put it together with all the software, get it in here. We don't want to find out there's a marvelous plan out there after we've already drawn it up. If you sincerely have input and you really want your input incorporated in the plan, you have to let us know what it is. Monday morning quarterbacking is not particularly helpful. Thank you. This hearing is closed. We appreciate the hospitality of the campus and we appreciate all who came and testified as well as

Committee on Governmental Employees, 3-3-2010

I, Lynn M. Reinhardt, do hereby certify that the foregoing typewritten transcription, consisting of pages number 1 to 239, inclusive, is a true record prepared by me and completed from materials provided to me.

Lynn M. Reinhardt,

Transcriptionist

November, 10, 2011 Date

Lyne M. Reilodt